#### PROVIDER REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW BOARD DECISION

2016-D22

**PROVIDER** – Select Specialty Medicare Dual Eligible Bad Debts CIRP Groups

Provider Nos.: Various

(See Appendix I)

VS.

 $\ \, \textbf{MEDICARE CONTRACTOR} \, - \,$ 

Novitas Soultions, Inc.

**DATE OF HEARING -**

December 18, 2013

Cost Reporting Periods Ended –

December 31, 2006; December 31, 2007; December 31, 2008; December 31, 2009;

December 31, 2010

**CASE NOs:** 08-0252GC; 08-1945GC; 09-1473GC; 10-1130GC and 11-0590GC

Page No.

#### **INDEX**

	1 0.80 1 10
Issue Statement	2
Decision	2
Introduction	2
Statement of The Facts	3
Discussion, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law	6
Decision and Order	12
Appendix I Summary of the Providers By CIRP	14

#### **ISSUE STATEMENT:**

Whether the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS") must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in the Medicaid Program.<sup>1</sup>

#### **DECISION**

After considering the law and program instructions, the evidence presented, and the parties' contentions, the Provider Reimbursement Review Board ("Board") has determined that the long term care hospitals ("LTCHs") in this consolidated group appeal:

- (1) Were unable to participate in the state Medicaid program because the state Medicaid program did not and would not enroll that *type* of provider; or
- (2) Could have enrolled and participated in the state Medicaid program but the provider made a business decision not to do so.

The Board affirms the Medicare Contractors' dual eligible bad debt adjustments for those providers that chose not to enroll in the state Medicaid program. The Board reverses the Medicare Contractors' dual eligible bad debt adjustments for those providers in states where the Medicaid program would not enroll LTCHs and remands those providers back to the Medicare Contractors to determine the appropriate amount of bad debt reimbursement.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Select Medical Corporation ("Select") owns and operates the Medicare-certified LTCHs in these five group appeals (the "Select LTCHs").<sup>2</sup> The Select LTACHs are located in various states. *None* of the Select LTCHs were enrolled as Medicaid providers in the state of their location. Three Medicare contractors,<sup>3</sup> including Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corporation ("WPS"), Mutual of Omaha, and Novitas, (collectively, the Medicare Contractors") denied the Select LTCHs' bad debt claims because the Select LTCHs failed to obtain remittance advices ("RAs") from their state's Medicaid programs to document their bad debt claims. The total amount in controversy is estimated at over \$19 million.<sup>4</sup>

The Select LTCHs timely appealed their bad debt reimbursement to the Board and met the jurisdictional requirements for a hearing. The Select LTCHs were represented at the hearing by Jason M. Healy, Esq. of The Law Offices of Jason M. Healy PLLC. The Medicare Contractors were represented by Arthur Peabody, Jr., Esq. of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Stipulations at ¶1 (Dec. 18, 2013) ("Stipulations").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Appendix 1 (list of the LTCHs participating in this consolidated appeal by CIRP group and fiscal year).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fiscal intermediaries ("FIs") and Medicare administrative contractors ("MACs") will be referred to as Medicare contractors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Stipulations at ¶ 9.

#### STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

For the cost reports in this appeal, the Medicare Contractors denied Medicare bad debt reimbursement for unpaid co-insurance and deductibles, for Medicare beneficiaries who were also eligible for Medicaid benefits under the applicable state's Medicaid program (these beneficiaries are commonly referred to as "dual eligible beneficiaries"). In addition, there are certain "qualified Medicare beneficiaries or "QMBs" who are either a dual eligible or are entitled to Medicare Part A, whose family incomes do not exceed 100 percent of the federal poverty line ("FPL"), and whose resources do not exceed certain resource-eligibility standards.<sup>5</sup> Based on the testimony at the hearing, it is the Board's understanding that the bad debts at issue involves both dual eligibles and QMBs.<sup>6</sup> The Medicare Contractors denied the bad debt reimbursement because the Select LTCHs did not comply with Medicare's "must bill" policy.

The Board has considered CMS's "must bill" policy as it relates to "duel eligible beneficiaries" and QMBs on numerous occasions. This policy requires that, prior to claiming a bad debt, a provider must: (1) bill the state Medicaid program for unpaid deductible and copayment amounts; and (2) obtain a statement (*i.e.*, a remittance advice or RA) from the state Medicaid agency identifying the amount of payment or the reason for non-payment.

The parties have stipulated the adjustments at issue in these group appeals were made to cost reports for fiscal years ("FYs") 2006 through 2010 and relate to bad debts for unpaid deductibles and copayments for dual eligible patients<sup>7</sup> as well as for QMBs as clarified post-hearing.<sup>8</sup> The parties have also stipulated that the state Medicaid programs have refused to process the claims and issue Medicaid RAs because the Select LTCHs were not enrolled as Medicaid providers.<sup>9</sup>

The regulations governing bad debts are located at 42 C.F.R. § 413.89 (2004).<sup>10</sup> Subsection (a) establishes the general rule that bad debts are deductions from revenue and are not to be included in allowable costs. However, in order to ensure that Medicare-covered costs are not shifted to individuals who are not covered by the Medicare program, subsection (d) specifies that bad debts attributable to Medicare deductibles and coinsurance are reimbursable as allowable costs. Bad debts must meet the following criteria specified in subsection (e) to be considered allowable:

- (1) The debt must be related to covered services and derived from deductible and coinsurance amounts.
- (2) The provider must be able to establish that reasonable collection efforts were made.
- (3) The debt was actually uncollectible when claimed as worthless.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(p).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Provider Post-Hearing Brief at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Stipulations at  $\P$  4. See also id. at  $\P$  15-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Provider Post-Hearing Brief at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Redesignated from 42 C.F.R. § 413.80 pursuant to 69 Fed. Reg. 48916, 49254 (Aug. 11, 2004).

(4) Sound business judgment established that there was no likelihood of recovery at any time in the future.

CMS has provided extensive guidance on its bad debt policy in the Provider Reimbursement, Manual, CMS Pub. No. 15-1 ("PRM 15-1"), §§ 308, 310, 312 and 322. PRM 15-1 § 308 requires that the provider make reasonable collection efforts and apply sound business judgment to determine that the debt was actually uncollectible. PRM 15-1 § 310 states that a "reasonable collection effort" involves the issuance of a bill on or shortly after discharge or death....<sup>11</sup> However, this section by its own terms, is inapplicable to indigent patients and specifically refers to § 312 which allows providers to "deem Medicare beneficiaries indigent or medically indigent when such individuals have also been determined eligible for Medicaid as either categorically needy individuals or medically needy individuals, respectively." <sup>12</sup>

While this language absolves the providers from taking further steps to prove the dual eligible patient indigent, subsection C of § 312 requires providers to "determine that no source other than the patient would be legally responsible for the patient's medical bill; e.g., title XIX, local welfare agency and guardian . . . ."<sup>13</sup>

Further, federal law<sup>14</sup> requires state Medicaid programs to pay the deductibles and coinsurance for dual eligible individuals and QMBs but the State may limit such payment to the state Medicaid program's "payment ceiling" which is generally the maximum amount that the state Medicaid program would pay for the service. As a state often limits its obligation to pay deductibles and coinsurance to this ceiling, and this ceiling is close to (just above or below) the Medicare payment, state Medicaid programs often pay little to no portion of the Medicare deductibles and coinsurance due for dual eligibles and QMBs. PRM 15-1 § 322 is entitled "Medicare Bad Debts Under State Welfare Programs" and, consistent with §§ 310 and 312, this section discusses bad debts involving dual eligibles and QMBs in terms of a State's "obligation" or responsibility to pay. These PRM provisions predate and, accordingly, comply with the Bad Debt Moratorium. The key sentences relevant to this appeal are:

Where the State is obligated either by statute or under the terms of its plan to pay all, or any part, of the Medicare deductible or coinsurance amounts, those amounts are not allowable as bad debts under Medicare. Any portion of such deductible and coinsurance amounts that the state is not obligated to pay can be included as a bad debt under Medicare, provided that the requirements of § 312 or, if applicable, § 310 are met. 15

First, this excerpt confirms that, if the Medicaid State plan provides for payment of Medicare

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> PRM 15-1 § 310 (copy included at Medicare Contractor Exhibit I-4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> PRM 15-1 § 312.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>*Id*. at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See 42 U.S.C. §§ 1396a(a)(10)(E), 1396a(n)(2), 1396d(p).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> (Emphasis added.)

coinsurance and deductibles (in whole or in part), then the amount of the payment cannot be allowable as Medicare bad debt. Second, this excerpt cross-references the requirements of §§ 310 and 312 confirming that, *at a minimum*, the § 310 requirement to "bill . . . the party responsible" is applicable to claims involving dual eligibles and QMBs. <sup>16</sup> Finally, in order to be eligible for Medicaid payment (whether for a dual eligible or QMB), most state Medicaid programs require that a provider be enrolled or certified as a provider in the state Medicaid program. <sup>17</sup>

In §4008(c) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987,<sup>18</sup> Congress enacted a noncodified statutory provision that became known as the "Bad Debt Moratorium." In 1988, in §8402 of the Technical and Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1988,<sup>19</sup> Congress retroactively amended the Bad Debt Moratorium. In 1989, in §6023 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1989,<sup>20</sup> Congress again retroactively amended the Bad Debt Moratorium. As a result of these subsequent changes, the Bad Debt Moratorium essentially has two prongs: (1) the first prong prohibits CMS from changing its bad debt policy in effect on August 1, 1987; and (2) the second prong is a hold harmless provision that prohibits CMS from requiring a provider to change its bad debt collection policy when the Intermediary had accepted that policy prior to August 1, 1987.<sup>21</sup> The Select LTCHs have only made arguments relative to the first prong.<sup>22</sup>

The Select LTCHs were not enrolled as Medicaid providers in the relevant state Medicaid programs during the time periods at issue.<sup>23</sup> In some states, the state Medicaid program did not permit LTCHs to enroll as Medicaid providers.<sup>24</sup> Other states allowed enrollment of LTCHs but the Select LTCHs chose not enroll.<sup>25</sup> In either case, the state Medicaid program refused to process claims submitted by the Select LTCHs and issue Medicaid RAs, because the Select LTCHs were not enrolled as Medicaid providers.<sup>26</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The Board recognizes that CMS issued a transmittal in November 1995 revising cost reporting instruction on bad debt documentation to allow providers "in lieu of billing" to submit alternative documentation to establish that nonpayment would have occurred if the crossover claim had been billed. *See* PRM 15-2, Ch. 11, Transmittal No. 4 (Nov. 1995) (revising PRM 15-2 § 1102.3). However, the Board notes that this decision does *not* opine on whether this 1995 transmittal does or does not violate the Bad Debt Moratorium (*i.e.*, whether that portion of CMS' "must bill" policy that requires billing of crossover claims even when nonpayment would have occurred if the crossover claim had been billed violates the Bad Debt Moratorium) because neither this sub-issue nor this transmittal are relevant to deciding the issues in this case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 42 C.F.R. § 431.107 (2006). *See* Provider Exhibit P-42 at 3 (copy of the Michigan Dept. of Health, Medicaid Provider Manual § 2 (July 1, 2008)); Provider Exhibit P-41 (copy of the Bureau of TennCare Policy Manual, Policy No. PRO 07-001 ¶ 1)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Pub. L. No. 100-203, 101 Stat. 1330, 1330-55 (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Pub. L. No. 100-647, 102 Stat. 3342, 3798 (1988).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Pub. L. No. 101-239, 103 Stat. 2106, 2167 (1989).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Reprinted at 42 U.S.C. S 1395f note entitled "Continuation of Bad Debt Recognition for Hospital Services."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> While the Select LTCHs have asserted that they relied on the Medicare Contractors' prior practice in granting its bad debts involving dual eligible and QMBs, the Select LTCHs have not alleged (nor presented any evidence) that this practice started prior to 1987. Accordingly, the second prong is not relevant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Stipulations at  $\P$  5.

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$  *Id.* at ¶ 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Transcript ("Tr.") at 64:14 - 68:7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Stipulations at ¶¶ 7, 8.

#### DISCUSSION, FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Select LTCHs contend that, prior to 2007, the Medicare Contractors<sup>27</sup> did not require non-Medicaid-participating providers to bill the state for Medicare cost-sharing amounts and obtain an RA from the state in order to be reimbursed for bad debt.<sup>28</sup> The Medicare Contractors reversed this policy when settling the FY 2005 cost reports, <sup>29</sup> using the "must bill" policy to require that both participating and non-participating Medicaid providers bill the state Medicaid programs, and obtain a RA before claiming Medicare bad debt. Following a remand of the FY 2005 case in 2012, the Select LTCHs responded by billing 102 claims to 6 state Medicaid programs and reported that they received letters stating that the state Medicaid program was unable to process these claims and could not issue RAs.<sup>30</sup> Later, in 2013, the Select LTCHs filed 83 Medicaid claims to 23 different state Medicaid programs for the cost years at issue in this case and received similar letters from the state Medicaid programs.<sup>31</sup> Citing responses from the state Medicaid programs, the Select LTCHs maintain that they were unable to obtain Medicaid RAs with payment determinations for these claims and that the Medicare Contractors should reimburse them for the Medicare bad debts at issue.<sup>32</sup>

The Select LTCHs argue that applying CMS' "must bill" policy (*i.e.*, the requirement to bill the state Medicaid program and obtain a RA in order to claim Medicare bad debt) to this case violates the Bad Debt Moratorium." The Select LTCHs maintain that the Medicare Contractors' denial of the bad debt claims at issue is unsupported by statute or regulation and that the Medicare Contractors' application of the "must bill" policy is arbitrary and capricious. The Select LTCHs assert that they relied on the longstanding agency practice that allowed non-Medicaid-participating providers to claim bad debts without obtaining Medicaid RAs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Significantly, the Select LTCHs do not assert that CMS (central or regional) gave them advice upon which they relied. In particular, Provider Exhibit P-9 at 4 is an email that refers to certain guidance being given by the Kansas City Regional Office. However, we do not have a copy of that guidance nor is the record clear when or to whom that guidance was issued. Further, the Select LTCHs have not claimed that they relied on that guidance. See Providers' Post-Hearing Brief at 34-35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Providers' Post Hearing Brief at 4-5; Provider Exhibit P-6 at 57-58, 63-64. In further support of their position that CMS did not require non-Medicaid-participating providers obtain an RA, the Select LTCHs cite to the 1995 instructions for completing CMS Form 339 (copy included at Provider Exhibit P-7). In particular, the 1995 instructions addressing bad debts required only that the provider furnish documentation of Medicaid eligibility and proof that non-payment would have resulted from the billing. *See* Providers' Post Hearing Brief at 5.

<sup>29</sup>Select Specialty FY 2005 cost year became a separate appeal which was decided by the Board on April 13, 2010. *See Select Specialty '05 Medicare Dual Eligible Bad Debts Grp. v. Wisconsin Physicians Serv.*, PRRB Dec. No. 2010-D25 (Apr. 13, 2010), *rev'd*, Adm'r Dec. (June 9, 2010). The Administrator's decision was appealed to the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia ("Court") in *Cove Associates Joint Venture v. Sebelius*, 848 F. Supp. 2d 13 (D.D.C. 2012). The Court found in favor of the Secretary that the must bill policy was not new and did not require notice and comment rulemaking. The Court remanded the case to the Secretary on the limited issue of whether the Providers were justified in relying on the Secretary's prior failure to enforce the must bill policy. On remand, the Administrator issued a decision on March 15, 2016 and found that such "reliance was not reasonable."

<sup>30</sup> Providers' Post Hearing Brief at 14; Provider Exhibits P-17-22; Tr at 26, 76-79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Tr. at 25, 85-89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Providers' Post Hearing Brief at 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> *Id.* at 31-34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Id.* at 35-36.

Accordingly, the Select LTCHs conclude that they should be allowed to claim the Medicare bad debts.<sup>35</sup>

The Select LTCHs also assert that CMS has recognized some exceptions to its "must bill" policy. Specifically, in briefs filed in connection with the *Community Hosp. of Monterey Peninsula v. Thompson*, Case No. C–01–0142 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2001), the Secretary recognized the following "two unique instances where the Secretary permits providers to claim Medicare crossover bad debt without billing the State Medicaid agency" <sup>36</sup>:

- 1. Community mental health centers ("CMHCs").—CMHCs "are allowed to claim Medicare crossover bad debts without billing the State agency because CMHCs cannot bill the State agency given that they are not licensed by the State and, therefore, have no Medi-Cal provider numbers."<sup>37</sup>
- 2. Institutions for mental diseases ("IMDs").—IMDs "are permitted to claim Medicare crossover bad debts without billing the State agency where the services are provided to patients aged 22-64. This is because the Medicaid statute and regulations categorically preclude payment for services provided to patient aged 22-64 in IMDs, and the state accordingly has absolutely no responsibility for the coinsurance/deductibles associated with those particular services."<sup>38</sup>

The Select LTCHs argue that the rationale for CMHCs and IMDs is equally applicable in this case because, similar to CHMCs and IMDs, many state Medicaid programs do not recognize and certify LTCHs as providers and, therefore, will neither enroll them, process their Medicaid claims, nor issue RAs to them.<sup>39</sup>

Finally, the Select LTCHs contend that they satisfied the requirement of *submitting* claims for the fiscal years at issue and that they could not obtain RAs because the state Medicaid program simply refused to process the claims of a non-Medicaid participating provider. As a result, the Select LTCHs contend that they were forced to bear the costs of allowable Medicare bad debts, in violation of Medicare's statutory prohibition on cost shifting. <sup>40</sup> Further, they assert that, in connection with state Medicaid programs for which they did not enroll, the Medicare Contractors violated the Bad Debt Moratorium by requiring the Select LTCHs to obtain RAs from such state Medicaid programs prior to a claiming Medicare bad debt for a dual eligible or QMB.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> *Id.* at 38-39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Defendant's Memorandum in Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment at 9n.5, *Community Hosp. of Monterey Peninsula v. Thompson*, Case No. C–01–0142, 2001 WL 1256890 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2001) (copy included at Provider Exhibit P-45).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> *Id.* (citations omitted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> *Id.* (citations omitted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>*Id.* at 75-78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> *Id.* at 74; 42 U.S.C.§ 1395x(v)(1)(A)(i) (copy included at Provider Exhibit P-51).

For its part, the Medicare Contractors maintain that federal regulations require providers to "maintain sufficient financial records and statistical data for proper determination of costs payable under the program" and that requiring a provider to obtain RAs from the state Medicaid program is the only way to meet this requirement. In addition, the Medicare Contractors state that one of the core justifications for the "must bill" policy is found in the statute at 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(p)(3) which imposes certain cost sharing on states for the Medicare coinsurance and deductibles of dual eligible Medicare patients. The Medicare Contractors assert that the need for CMS' must-bill policy as it relates to dual eligibles is plainly evident because a patient's Medicaid status may change over the course of a very short period and states are entitled to change, enhance, or modify provisions of their Medicaid state plans, including its cost sharing obligations under § 1396d(p). It is the state Medicaid program that maintains the most accurate and up-to-date patient information to make a determination of a patient's Medicaid eligibility status at the time of service and the state that must determine its cost sharing responsibility, if any, for any unpaid Medicare deductibles and coinsurance based upon the state plan in effect. <sup>42</sup>

Having considered the positions of the parties, the evidence presented and the statutory and regulatory authority, the Board finds that pre-1987 the bad debt policy in the PRM clearly established that providers have an obligation to bill "the responsible party." This decision differs from the Board's findings and conclusions in its 2010 decision involving Select's FY 2005. The Board now has the benefit of considering several federal court decisions on this matter as well as the Administrator's decision upon remand of Select's FY 2005 case.<sup>43</sup>

Three federal appeals courts have reviewed CMS' must bill policy. While none of the decisions applied the Bad Debt Moratorium, they are still instructive as to CMS' policy. The First Circuit concluded that "some version" of a "must bill" policy has generally been enforced and that a general requirement (as opposed to a *per se* requirement) to obtain a Medicaid remittance advice for crossover claims is entitled to deference where "the Secretary has made exceptions and accepted alternative documentation *from the State* where circumstances warranted the exception." Similarly, the D.C. Circuit found that it is "sensible for the Secretary to require that the state determine in the first instance the Medicaid eligibility of the claims and the appropriate amount of state payment owed..." Finally, the Ninth Circuit deferred to the Secretary's reasonable determination that "the must bill policy is a 'fundamental requirement to demonstrate'... that reasonable collection efforts [have been] made and that 'the debt was actually uncollectible when claimed [as worthless]."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> 42 C.F.R. § 413.20(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Medicare Contractor Final Position Paper at 7-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Select Specialty '05 Medicare Dual Eligible Bad Debt Group v Blue Cross Blue Shield Association, Decision of the Administrator, March 15, 2016, on remand from, Cove Associates Joint Venture v Sebelius, 848 F. Supp. 2d 13 (D.D.C. 2012)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Maine Med. Ctr. v. Burwell, 775 F. 3d 470, 475, 480 (1st Cir. 2015) (emphasis in original).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Grossmont Hosp. Corp v. Burwell 797 F. 3d 1079, 1085 (D.C. Cir. 2015), reh'g en banc denied (D.C. Cir. 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Community Hosp. of Monterey Peninsula v. Thompson, 323 F.3d 782, 792, 796 (9th Cir. 2003).

#### **A.** STATES IN WHICH THE SELECT LTCHS COULD BE CERTIFIED AS MEDICAID PROVIDERS BUT DID NOT ENROLL.

Our review of the record (including but not limited to Provider Exhibit P-100) shows that, for the state Medicaid programs in the following states, the Select LTCHs could have enrolled in those programs even though there are bad debts at issue involving those programs: Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, Mississippi (except for Harrison County),<sup>47</sup> Nebraska, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. Our review of the record also shows that, for the state Medicaid program in the following states, there is no evidence confirming whether LTCHs could or could not enroll in those programs even though there are bad debts at issue involving those programs: Missouri, Minnesota, Ohio, South Carolina, South Dakota, and Virginia. Without any evidence to the contrary, the Board must assume that the Select LTCHs could have enrolled in the state Medicaid programs for this second grouping. For purposes of this subsection, the Board will refer to the first and second group of state Medicaid programs collectively as "the States Allowing LTCH Enrollment."

For the States Allowing LTCH Enrollment, the Select LTCHs had no bar to enrolling as a Medicaid provider and obtaining a Medicaid billing number. The witness for the Select LTCHs testified that, for these states, the decision *not* to enroll in a particular state Medicaid program was a "business decision" considering the rate of reimbursement by that program. <sup>48</sup> Specifically, the witness explained that, in some cases, the Select LTCHs chose not to enroll as a Medicaid provider because many of the States Allowing LTCH Enrollment paid an LTCH a DRG amount based on a "short term acute care hospital" and the resulting reimbursement was "very poor."

Notwithstanding their decision to not enroll in the States Allowing LTCH Enrollment, the witness explained that, as a result of the earlier court case, the Select LTCHs did submit during 2013 roughly 85-100 claims for the fiscal years at issue and some of these claims involved these states. However, none of these claims were paid, and the Select LTCHs received little communication back from the state Medicaid programs except to deny the claims because the Select LTCHs were not enrolled as Medicaid providers.<sup>50</sup> The Board's review of these documents shows that many of these claims were denied because of one of the following reasons: (1) the Select LTCHs were not enrolled as Medicaid providers and, therefore, the provider number was missing on the claim;<sup>51</sup> or (2) the claim was untimely.<sup>52</sup> None of the claims were denied because LTCHs *could not* enroll or that the claim was not payable.<sup>53</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> The record shows that, if an LTCH was located outside of Harrison County, Mississippi, it could enroll in Mississippi's state Medicaid program. In particular, the LTCH in Jackson was able to enroll backdated to 9/1/2008 when they applied. *See* Provider Exhibit P-100 at 102.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Tr. at 68:6-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Tr. at 64:20-66:13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Tr. at 86-87; Provider Exhibit P-98.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Provider Exhibit P-15 at 1, 4, 10, 17, 23, 59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Provider Exhibit P-17 at 11; Provider Exhibit P-83 at 201; Provider Exhibit P-84 at 209; Provider Exhibit P-85 at 226; *Tr.* at 91:15-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Provider Exhibit P-16 at 1; Provider Exhibit P-25 at 2.

CNs: 08-0252GC; 08-1945GC; 09-1473GC; 10-1130GC; and 11-0590GC

As previously discussed, PRM 15-1 § 322 confirms that, if the Medicaid State plan provides for payment of Medicare coinsurance and deductibles (in whole or in part), then the amount of the payment cannot be allowable as Medicare bad debt. Significantly, this is a blanket requirement that it not predicated on whether the provider does or does not participates in the relevant Medicaid program. Second, this excerpt cross-references the requirements of § 310 confirming that, *at a minimum*, the § 310 requirement to "bill . . . the party responsible" is applicable to crossover claims. Second is a specific to crossover claims.

Notwithstanding the § 322 need to determine whether the relevant state Medicaid program was "responsible," the Select LTCHs made business decisions not to enroll in the States Allowing LTCH Enrollment and have not submitted any documentation (whether in the form of RAs or other evidence<sup>56</sup>) that confirms the state Medicaid program is not responsible for Medicare coinsurance and deductibles of either dual eligibles or QMBs. Further, as previously noted, PRM § 322 pre-dates and complies with the Bad Debt Moratorium.<sup>57</sup>

Further, the Board notes that the record indicates that, in October 2004, the Medicare Contractors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> See also Cove Assocs. Jt. Venture v. Sebelius, 848 F. Supp. 2.d 13, 25 (D.D.C. 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> The Board recognizes that CMS issued a transmittal in November 1995 revising cost reporting instruction on bad debt documentation to allow providers "in lieu of billing" to submit alternative documentation to establish that nonpayment would have occurred if the crossover claim had been billed. *See* PRM 15-2, Ch. 11, Transmittal No. 4 (Nov. 1995) (revising PRM 15-2 § 1102.3). However, the Board notes that this decision does *not* opine on whether this 1995 transmittal does or does not violate the Bad Debt Moratorium (*i.e.*, whether that portion of CMS' "must bill" policy that requires billing of crossover claims even when nonpayment would have occurred if the crossover claim had been billed violates the Bad Debt Moratorium) because neither this sub-issue nor this transmittal are relevant to deciding the issues in this case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> The Select LTCHs point to the 1995 bad debt instructions for the CMS Form 339 to support their position that an RA is not required yet they did not comply with those instructions. These instructions specify that, "to establish that Medicaid is not responsible for payment," the provide may, in lieu of billing, furnish documentation of Medicaid eligibility and proof that "non-payment would have occurred if the . . . claim had been filed with Medicaid." However, the Select LTCHs have not furnished any evidence that the States Allowing LTCH Enrollment are not responsible for payment under the state Medicaid plan had a claim been filed. As the Select LTCHs have not submitted evidence outside of RAs to demonstrate that the States Allowing LTCH Enrollment had no responsibility for coinsurance and deductibles, the Board need not address: (1) whether this other documentation would be acceptable; or (2) whether the CMS' position that the "must bill" policy necessarily includes obtaining an RA from a state even when that state has no responsibility violates the Bad Debt Moratorium.

<sup>57</sup> In support of its position, the Board notes the following examples of pre-1987 agency statements and Board cases applying CMS' bad debt policy: HCFA Action No. HCFA-AT-77-73 (MMB) (July 5, 1977) (responding to questions about a change in federal law in January, 1968 which made payment of Medicare deductible and copayments by the state Medicaid program optional) (copy included as Board Exhibit B-1); *Geriatric and Med'l Ctrs., Inc. v. Blue Cross Ass'n, PRRB Dec. No.* 82-D62 (Mar. 3, 1982) (finding that "the cost of these services were not included in payments for services covered by the State of Pennsylvania"), *decl'd* review, HCFA Adm'r (Apr. 23, 1982); *Concourse Nursing Home Grp. Appeal v. Travelers Ins. Co.*, PRRB Dec. No. 1983-D152 (Sept. 27, 1983) (finding that "the Provider has furnished no documentation which would support its contentions that it had established collection policies and procedures or that actual collection efforts were made to obtain payments from the patients or the Medicaid authorities before an account balance was considered . . . bad debt"), *decl'd review*, HCFA Adm'r (Nov. 4, 1983); *St. Joseph Hospital v. Blue Cross Blue Shield Ass'n*, PRRB Dec. No. 84-D109 (Apr. 16, 1984) (finding that "the Provider did not attempt to bill the State of Georgia for its Medicaid patients"), *decl'd review*, HCFA Adm'r (May 14, 1984).

advised the Select LTCHs that they would be required to bill the state Medicaid program for dual eligible and QMBs.<sup>58</sup> Through July 2007, however, some of the Medicare Contractors continued to reimburse some of the Select LTCHs for bad debts without requiring them to bill Medicaid and obtain RAs.<sup>59</sup> Documentation in the record indicates that these Select LTCHs did not apply to be Medicaid providers until mid-to-late 2007.<sup>60</sup> As a result, the Select LTCHs cannot demonstrate their compliance with the requirement to determine that "no other source other than the patient would be legally responsible for the patient's medical bill…" as is required by Medicare bad debt policy.<sup>61</sup> The fact that the Select LTCHs were informed of the Medicare Contractors' directive in 2004 but did nothing to become a Medicaid provider until after the end of the cost report years at issue, indicates that the Select LTCHs continued to make a business decisions not to apply, until it became obvious that they had no other recourse but to become a Medicaid provider.<sup>62</sup> The Board concludes that the Medicare Contractor's disallowance of the Select LTCHs' bad debt was proper as it relates to the States Allowing LTCH Enrollment.

**B.** STATES IN WHICH THE SELECT LTCHS COULD NOT BE CERTIFIED AS MEDICAID PROVIDERS.

During the testimony at the hearing Select indicated that, in some instances, they were unable to submit claims to the state Medicaid program because the state Medicaid program would not enroll or certify LTCHs as Medicaid providers.<sup>63</sup> The Board members requested that Select identify which state Medicaid programs would not enroll LTCHs but Select did not respond to this request post-hearing.

As a result of the Select LTCH's lack of response to the Board's request, the Board reviewed the documentation submitted by the parties and determined that, in several states for various periods of time, it does appear LTCHs were unable to enroll as a Medicaid provider and, therefore, were unable to bill the relevant state Medicaid programs. Based on its review, the Board determined that, in following 6 states during the specified fiscal years, providers were unable to enroll in the relevant state Medicaid program and obtain a Medicaid provider number as a LTCH:

- 1. Alabama: FYs 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010.<sup>64</sup>
- 2. Delaware: FYs 2006, 2007, 2008.65
- 3. Mississippi for Harrison County Only: FYs 2006, 2007, 2008.<sup>66</sup>
- 4. New Jersey: FYs 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010<sup>67</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Providers' Final Position Paper at 36; Provider Exhibit P-35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Providers' Final Position Paper at 36; Provider Exhibit P-35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> See Provider Exhibits P-26, P-27, P-28, P-29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> PRM 15-1 Chapter 3 § 312.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Tr. at 67:12-70:2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Tr. at 104:1-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Provider Exhibit P-100 at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Provider Exhibit P-16 at 1; Provider Exhibit P-100 at 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> The CON for the LTCH in Gulf Port, Harrison County, Mississippi had a CON that prohibited it from participating in Mississippi's state Medicaid program in accordance with Mississippi Code 41-7-191(6). Provider Exhibit P-100 at 68, 82.

5. North Carolina: FYs 2007, 2008, 2009<sup>68</sup>

6. Pennsylvania: FYs 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010<sup>69</sup>

The Board will refer to these states as the "States Not Allowing LTCH Enrollment."

Based on the above, the Board finds that the States Not Allowing LTCH Enrollment do not recognize nor reimburse LTCHs, including but not limited to the Select LTCHs. This is similar to the exception to the must bill policy that CMS recognized for CMHCs in the *Monterey* case.

Moreover, the Select LTCHs clearly appears to be caught in a "Catch-22" as identified by the D.C. District Court in 2012 in *Cove Assocs. Jt. Venture v. Sebelius ("Cove"*). Like the LTCHs in *Cove*, the Select LTCHs were told to comply with the Medicare "must bill" policy even though they were unable to do so because billing privileges for these state Medicaid programs were contingent on enrollment in those programs and, as LTCHs, they could not enroll in the relevant state Medicaid programs. As the *Cove* Court stated, the Select LTCHs "are left in the untenable position of either refusing to treat dual-eligible patients or absorbing the bad debt associated with those patients." The select LTCHs is a contraction of either refusing to treat dual-eligible patients or absorbing the bad debt associated with those patients.

In *Cove*, the Secretary's position was that "states are required to issue RAs (regardless of a provider's participation status)" although the agency's counsel conceded "it was in a better position than the providers to ensure that the states comply." However, the *Cove* Court was "not willing to place a stamp of judicial approval on a policy that would put non-participating providers in the position of not being paid due to the delinquency of federally-funded state programs." <sup>72</sup>

Based on *Cove*, the Board finds that the Medicare Contractors improperly disallowed bad debt reimbursement for the claims at issue involving the States Not Allowing LTCH Enrolment. Accordingly, the Board remands to Medicare Contractors to determine the appropriate amount of bad debt reimbursement for those claims.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER:**

After considering the law and program instructions, the evidence presented, and the parties' contentions, the Board has determined that the long term care hospitals ("LTCHs") in this consolidated group appeal:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Provider Exhibit P-25 at 2, 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Provider Exhibit P-28 at 1; Provider Exhibit P-100 at 108. However, "re" enrollment was approved as of Feb. 1, 2010. *See* Provider Exhibit P-100 at 109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Provider Exhibit P-100 at 114. LTCH approved as a Medicaid provider as of Dec. 11, 2011. *See* Provider Exhibit P-100 at 123.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> 848 F. Supp. 2d 13 (D.D.C. 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> *Id*. at 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> *Id.* at 28.

Page 13 CNs: 08-0252GC; 08-1945GC; 09-1473GC; 10-1130GC; and 11-0590GC

- (1) Were unable to participate in the state Medicaid program because the state Medicaid program did not and would not enroll that *type* of provider; or
- (2) Could have enrolled and participated in the state Medicaid program but the provider made a business decision not to do so.

The Board affirms the Medicare Contractors' dual eligible bad debt adjustments for those providers that chose not to enroll in the state Medicaid program. The Board reverses the Medicare Contractors' dual eligible bad debt adjustments for those providers in states where the Medicaid program would not enroll LTCHs and remands those providers back to the Medicare Contractors to determine the appropriate amount of bad debt reimbursement.

#### **BOARD MEMBERS PARTICIPATING:**

Michael W. Harty Clayton J. Nix, Esq. L. Sue Andersen, Esq. Charlotte F. Benson C.P.A. John Ahern, MBA

#### FOR THE BOARD:

/s/ Michael W. Harty Chairman

DATE: September 27, 2016

#### APPENDIX I SUMMARY OF THE PROVIDERS BY GROUP APPEAL

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.: 08-0252GC

Group Name: Select Medical 2006 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

= 2 14 13 12 10 Provider Number 23-2031 45-2078 45-2084 15-2016 04-2005 23-2028 04-2007 44-2011 39-2031 11-2008 39-2045 15-2012 28-2001 06-2015 Select Specialty Hospital - South Dallas Select Specialty Hospital - Nashville Select Specialty Hospital - Wyandotte Select Specialty Hospital - Northwest Indiana Select Specialty Hospital - Midland Select Specialty Hospital - Fort Wayne Select Specialty Hospital - Omaha Select Specialty Hospital - Little Rock Select Specialty Hospital - Battle Creek Select Specialty Hospital - Pine Bluff Select Specialty Hospital - Johnstown Taylor, Wayne, Michigan Select Specialty Hospital - Augusta Select Specialty Hospital - Denver Select Specialty Hospital - McKeesport Battle Creek, Calhoun, Michigan Nashville, Davidson, Tennessee Desoto, Dallas, Texas Fort Wayne, Allen, Indiana Pine Bluff, Jefferson, Arkansas Denver, Denver, Colorado McKeesport, Allegheny, Pennsylvania Hammond, Lake, Indiana Midland, Midland, Texas Omaha, Douglas, Nebraska Little Rock, Pulaski, Arkansas Johnstown, Cambria, Pennsylvania Provider Name / Location 06/30/2006 06/30/2006 05/31/2006 04/30/2006 04/30/2006 04/30/2006 03/31/2006 07/31/2006 07/31/2006 06/30/2006 08/31/2006 06/30/2006 10/31/2006 09/30/2006 FYE Intermediary / MAC WPS\* WPS Date of Final Determination 07/30/2007 07/24/2007 06/18/2007 08/01/2007 08/30/2007 06/22/2007 08/01/2007 09/27/2007 10/26/2007 11/16/2007 10/19/2007 06/12/2007 10/29/2007 10/30/2007 Date of Hearing Request / Add Issue Request 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 01/31/2008 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 11/16/2007 ₩ No. of Days 115 151 107 157 147 109 107 a 18 21 78 7 28 76 8 Audit Adj. No. Ξ U 13 13 10 10 1 10 10 12 = 4 13 10 Controversy Amount in 269,931 100,075 210,405 156,243 137,327 129,013 154,347 122,784 24,777 60,722 140,258 Direct Add 95,335 16,500 70,674 Prior Case Number(s) ц Add / Transfer(s) Date of Direct 01/31/2008 G

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

31	30		29	28	3	27		26		25		24		23		22		21		20		19		18		17		16		15		#	
44-2014	37-2006		51-2002	19-2044		26-2014		23-2035		44-2015		19-2030		45-2022		44-2016		01-2008		23-2032		10-2017		04-2006		15-2014		08-2000		44-2012		Provider Number	
Select Specialty Hospital - Memphis	Select Specialty Hospital - Tulsa Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma	Charleston, Kanawha, West Virginia	Select Specialty Hospital - Charleston	Select Specially Hospital - Baton Kouge  Baton Rouge, East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana	Kansas City, Jackson, Missouri	Select Specialty Hospital - Western Missouri	Kalamazoo, Kalamazoo, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Kalamazoo	Knoxville, Knox, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - North Knoxville	Metairie, Jefferson Parish, Louisiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Jefferson Parish	Carrolton, Dallas, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - Dallas	Bristol, Sullivan, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - TriCities	Birmingham, Jefferson, Alabama	Select Specialty Hospital - Birmingham	Detroit, Wayne, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Northwest Detroit	Panama City, Bay, Florida	Select Specialty Hospital - Panama City	Fort Smith, Sebastian, Arkansas	Select Specialty Hospital - Fort Smith	Evansville, Vanderburgh, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Evansville	Wilmington, Newcastle, Delaware	Select Specialty Hospital - Wilimington	Knoxville, Knox, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - Knoxville	Augusta, Richmond, Georgia	Provider Name / Location	
11/30/2006	08/31/2006		08/31/2006	10/51/00 term		02/28/2006		05/31/2006		12/31/2006		08/31/2006		12/31/2006		10/31/2006		08/31/2006		08/31/2006		07/31/2006		08/31/2006		12/31/2006		07/31/2006		07/31/2006		FYE	
WPS	WPS		WPS	Wro	1	WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		Intermediary / MAC	
03/28/2008	02/15/2008		02/01/2008	01/23/2000		08/21/2007		10/30/2007		12/26/2007		12/21/2007		12/21/2007		12/20/2007		12/12/2007		12/11/2007		11/30/2007		11/28/2007		11/27/2007		11/26/2007		11/26/2007		Date of Final Determination	Α
06/30/2008	06/30/2008		02/15/2008	02/17/2000	00/15/000	02/15/2008		02/15/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008	u	01/31/2008		Date of Hearing Request / Add Issue Request	В
94	136		14		1	178		108		36		41		41		42		50		51	\ <u>.</u>	62		64		65		66		66		No. of Days	C
13	13		16	•	Þ	16		12		10		12		13		13		9		14		15		12		10, 11		7		13		Audit Adj. No.	Ħ
238,798	51,512		175,750	12,704	12 704	1,915		184,912		69,590		113,280		80,415		90,639		188,161		395,931		19,149		184,141		16,213		18,437		371,560		Amount in Controversy	Ħ
Direct Add	Direct Add		Direct Add	Direct Ma		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Prior Case Number(s)	ᄪ
d 06/30/2008	1 06/30/2008		1 02/15/2008		1 02/15/2008	02/15/2008		02/15/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s) to Group	ଦ

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

	35		34		33		32		#		
	31-2019		25-2005	•	23-2023		15-2010		Number	Provider	
Rochelle Park, Bergen, New Jersey	Select Specialty Hospital - Northeast New Jersey	Gulfport, Harrison, Mississippi	Select Specialty Hospital - Gulf Coast	Mount Clemens, Macomb, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Macomb County	Greenwood, Johnson, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Indianapolis	Memphis, Shelby, Tennessee	Provider Name / Location		
	10/31/2006		12/31/2006		12/31/2006		11/30/2006		FYE		
	WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		MAC	Intermediary /	
	09/28/2011		09/27/2011		05/29/2008		04/09/2008		Determination	Date of Final	Α
	11/26/2008		11/26/2008	•	06/30/2008	•	06/30/2008		Issue Request	Date of Hearing Request / Add	В
	*		*		32		82	•	Days	No. of	C
	13		11		15		15		No.	No. of Audit Adj.	ם
	118,719		202,357		5,392		227,532		Controversy	Amount in	Ħ
	118,719 Direct Add		202,357 Direct Add		5,392 Direct Add		Direct Add		Number(s)	Prior Case	ᅲ
	11/26/2008		11/26/2008		06/30/2008		227,532 Direct Add 06/30/2008		to Group	Date of Direct Prior Case Add / Transfer(s)	G

<sup>\*</sup> Wisconsin Physicians Service (formerly Mutual of Omaha). WPS confirmed that they transitioned responsibility for these cost reports to Novitas Solutions, Inc. in February 2011 under the J12 MAC transition.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Providers that were added to group on 11/26/2008 but did not receive an NPR due to an unrelated issue (outlier reconciliation). NPRs were withheld by the intermediary per instructions from CMS. See CMS Pub 100-04, Ch. 3, sec. 20.1.2.5 ("The NPR cannot be issued nor can the cost report be finalized until outlier reconciliation is complete.")

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.:

08-1945G

Group Name:

Select Medical 2007 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary:

Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Issue: Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

14	13	12	11	10	9	∞	7	6	S <sub>1</sub>	4	ω	2	-	#=	
39-2036	39-2031	39-2037	39-2039	34-2018	25-2007	23-2030	11-2011	06-2016	39-2040	23-2035	23-2012	23-2024	11-2013	Provider Number	
Select Specialty Hospital - Laurel Highlands	Select Specialty Hospital - Johnstown Johnstown, Cambria, Pennsylvania	Camp riii, Cumoriand, remsyivama Select Specialty Hospital - Erie Erie, Erie, Pennsylvania	Ournam, Durnam, North Cartonna Select Specialty Hospital - Central Pennsylvania	Jackson, Hinds, Mississippi Select Specialty Hospital - Durham	Pontiac, Oakland, Michigan Select Specialty Hospital - Jackson	Savannah, Chatham, Georgia Select Specialty Hospital - Pontiac	Colorado Springs, El Paso, Colorado Select Specialty Hospital - Savannah	Lancaster, Lancaster, Pennsylvania Select Specialty Hospital - Colorado Springs	Kalamazoo, Kalamazoo, Michigan Select Specialty Hospital - Lancaster	Flint, Genesee, Michigan Select Specialty Hospital - Kalamazoo	Ypsilanti, Washtenaw, Michigan Select Specialty Hospital - Flint	Augusta, Richmond, Georgia Select Specialty Hospital - Ann Arbor	Select Specialty Hospital - Augusta	Provider Name / Location	
03/31/2007	04/30/2007	05/31/2007	01/31/2007	01/31/2007	02/28/2007	01/31/2007	04/30/2007	01/31/2007	1/18/2007 term	05/31/2007	01/31/2007	04/30/2007	03/31/2007	FYE	
WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS*	Intermediary /	
05/16/2008	06/02/2008	06/02/2008	05/09/2008	06/13/2008	05/12/2008	04/30/2008	06/02/2008	06/17/2008	12/28/2007	04/17/2008	03/28/2008	04/25/2008	03/19/2008	Date of Final Determination	Α
06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	05/02/2008	05/02/2008	05/02/2008	05/02/2008	05/02/2008	Date of Hearing Request / Add Issue Request	В
45	28	28	52	17	.49	61	28	13	126	15	35	7	4	No. of Days	С
4	10	4	11	10	4	Ħ	4	10	10	12	12	13	12	Audit Adj. No.	D
37,184	30,304	40,155	28,983	136,224	410,383	16,652	140,490	110,419	54,270	128,509	100,605	65,148	166,451	Amount in Controversy	ជ
Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add						Prior Case Number(s)	ਸ
06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008	06/30/2008						Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s) to Group	G

Latrobe, Westmoreland, Pennyslvania

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.:
08-1945G
Group Name: Select Medical 2007 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group
Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Issue: Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	#	
15-2013	06-2015	04-2005	04-2006	01-2008	45-2078	45-2089	44-2011	39-2044	28-2001	23-2033	23-2031	08-2000	04-2000	45-2073	Provider Number	
Denver, Denver, Colorado Select Specialty Hospital - Beechgrove	Little Rock, Pulaski, Arkansas Select Specialty Hospital - Denver	Fort Smith, Sebastian, Arkansas Select Specialty Hospital - Little Rock	Birmingham, Jefferson, Alabama Select Specialty Hospital - Fort Smith	Desoto, Dallas, Texas Select Specialty Hospital - Birmingham	Conroe, Montgomery, Texas Select Specialty Hospital - South Dallas	Nashville, Davidson, Tennessee Select Specialty Hospital - Conroe	Pittsburgh, Allegheny, Pennsylvania Select Specialty Hospital - Nashville	Omaha, Douglas, Nebraska Select Specialty Hospital - Pittsburgh/UPMC	Saginaw, Saginaw, Michigan Select Specialty Hospital - Omaha	Taylor, Wayne, Michigan Select Specialty Hospital - Saginaw	Wilmington, New Castle, Delaware Select Specialty Hospital - Downriver	Little Rock, Pulaski, Arkansas Select Specialty Hospital - Wilmington	San Antonio, Bexar, Texas Select Specialty Hospital - Little Rock	Select Specialty Hospital - San Antonio	Provider Name / Location	
08/31/2007	09/30/2007	06/30/2007	08/31/2007	08/31/2007	03/31/2007	02/28/2007	04/30/2007	06/30/2007	06/30/2007	02/28/2007	04/30/2007	07/31/2007	. 02/28/2007	04/30/2007	РҮЕ	
WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	Intermediary / MAC	
12/23/2008	01/02/2009	11/20/2008	12/24/2008	12/29/2008	07/01/2008	07/25/2008	06/20/2008	10/08/2008	09/08/2008	08/08/2008	07/16/2008	06/30/2008	07/03/2008	06/03/2008	Date of Final Determination	Α
02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	06/30/2008	Date of Hearing Request / Add Issue Request	В
51	41	84	50	45	129	105	140	30	60	91	114	130	127	27	No. of Days	С
13	9	v	9	∞	12	11	<b>∞</b>	11	12	12	œ	7	Cs.	W	Audit Adj. No.	D
33,657	143,107	96,184	181,908	56,445	258,700	108,373	157,548	2,934	142,880	72,689	80,233	40,130	191,749	114,755	Amount in Controversy	tri
Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Prior Case Number(s)	ובי
02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	11/07/2008	06/30/2008	Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s) to Group	G

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.:
08-1945G
Group Name: Select Medical 2007 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group
Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Issue: Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	8	35	34		33	32		31	ų	3	#	
34-2016	15-2010	51-2002	45-2084	44-2015	44-2012	39-2045	37-2006	7.0.7.	23-2032	23-2038		23-2028	15-2012		15-2016	13-2019	15 2010	Provider Number	
Select Specialty Hospital - Winston Salem Winston-Salem, Forsyth, North Carolina	Select Specialty Hospital - Indianapolis Greenwood, Johnson, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Charleston Charleston, Kanawha, West Virginia	Select Specialty Hospital - Midland Midland, Midland, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - North Knoxville Knoxville, Knox, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - Knoxville Knoxville, Knox, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - McKeesport McKeesport, Allegheny, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Tulsa Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma	Detroit, Wayne, Michigan	Grosse Pointe, Wayne, Michigan Select Specialty Hospital - Northwest Detroit	Select Specialty Hospital - Grosse Pointe	Battle Creek, Calhoun, Michigan	Hammond, Lake, indiana Select Specialty Hospital - Battle Creek	Select Specialty Hospital - Northwest Indiana	Fort Wayne, Allen, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Fort Wayne	весс вресану позрна - вновищующ	Beech Grove, Marion, Indiana	Provider Name / Location	
07/31/2007	11/30/2007	08/31/2007	07/31/2007	12/31/2007	07/31/2007	08/31/2007	08/31/2007		08/31/2007	12/31/2007		06/30/2007	07/31/2007		06/30/2007	0112112001	07/21/2007	FYE	
WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	į	WPS	WPS		WPS	WPS		WPS	* E	Wibe	Intermediary / MAC	
10/15/2008	03/10/2009	12/29/2008	12/01/2008	12/29/2008	12/24/2008	12/29/2008	11/26/2008		12/05/2008	12/22/2008		11/26/2008	12/08/2008		11/26/2008	14/27/2000	12/20/2008	Date of Final Determination	Α
03/31/2009	03/31/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009		02/12/2009	02/12/2009		02/12/2009	02/12/2009		02/12/2009	021 121 2007	02/12/200	Date of Hearing Request / Add Issue Request	В
167	21	45	73	45	50	45	78	1	69	52		78	66		78	;	A.	No. of Days	C
10	12	13	9	4	12	11	œ		10	4		10	12		11	i	13	Audit Adj. No.	Ð
48,339	208,950	110,261	13,852	55,554	223,552	8,043	6,887		248,507	37,330		45,504	211,943		69,735	ļ	12.356	Amount in Controversy	Ħ
Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add		Direct Add	Direct Add		Direct Add	Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add	Prior Case Number(s)	'n
03/31/2009	03/31/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009	02/12/2009		02/12/2009	02/12/2009		02/12/2009	02/12/2009		02/12/2009		02/12/2009	Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s) to Group	G

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.:
08-1945G
Group Name: Select Medical 2007 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group
Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary:

Issue: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	45	44	#	
23-2031	45-2022	25-2005	23-2028	17-2007	45-2087	44-2016	44-2014	36-2019	31-2019	19-2030	15-2014	15-2019	04-2005	36-2024	Provider Number	
Select Specialty Hospital - Downriver	Select Specialty Hospital - Dallas Carrolton, Dallas, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - Gulfcoast Gulfport, Harrison, Mississippi	Select Specialty Hospital - Battle Creek Battle Creek, Calhoun, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Wichita Wichita, Sedgwick, Kansas	Select Specialty Hospital - Longview Longview, Gregg, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - Tri Cities Bristol, Sullivan, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - Memphis Memphis, Shelby, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - Cincinnati Cincinnati, Hamilton, Ohio	Select Specialty Hospital - Northeast New Jersey Rochelle Park, Bergen, New Jersey	Select Specialty Hospital - Jefferson Parish Metairie, Jefferson, Louisiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Evansville Evansville, Vanderburgh, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Bloomington Bloomington, Monroe, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Little Rock Little Rock, Pulaski, Arkansas	Select Specialty Hospital - Youngstown Youngstown, Mahoning, Ohio	Provider Name / Location	
12/17/07 CHOW	12/31/2007	12/31/2007	12/31/07 term	12/31/2007	12/31/2007	10/31/2007	11/30/2007	07/31/2007	10/31/2007	08/31/2007	12/31/2007	9/24/07 term	12/07/2007	12/31/2007	FYE	
WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	. WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS	Intermediary / MAC	
10/26/2009	05/15/2009	04/30/2009	06/30/2009	05/22/2009	04/17/2009	03/27/2009	03/27/2009	12/11/2008	03/30/2009	01/21/2009	03/25/2009	02/25/2009	04/02/2009	03/10/2009	Date of Final Determination	≯
01/25/2010	08/24/2009	08/24/2009	08/24/2009	08/24/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	03/31/2009	Date of Hearing Request / Add Issue Request	B
91	101	116	55	94	31	52	52	158	49	117	54	82	46	21	No. of Days	C
11	13	12	10	12	10	, 6	9	9	12	10	10	6	9	12	Audit Adj. No.	D
175,723	85,275	158,337	81,880	1,215	99,861	40,589	242,645	15,616	73,892	143,872	18,054	694	18,759	8,680	Amount in Controversy	tri
Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Prior Case Number(s)	TI.
01/25/2010	08/24/2009	08/24/2009	08/24/2009	08/24/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	05/18/2009	03/31/2009	Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s) to Group	G

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.: Group Name:

08-1945G Select Medical 2007 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

	60	59		#		
	39-2047	11-2008		# Number	Provider	
Danville, Montour, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Danville	Select Specialty Hospital - Augusta Augusta, Richmond, Georgia	Taylor, Wayne, Michigan	Provider Name / Location		
	01/31/2007	3/20/07 term		FYE		
	Novitas**	WPS		MAC	Intermediary /	
	09/30/2011	04/23/2010		Determination	Date of Final	≯
	01/10/2012	07/12/2010		Issue Request	Date of Hearing Request / Add	₩.
	102	80		Days	No. of	С
	13	15		Days Audit Adj. No. Controversy		D
	66,406	88,320		Controversy	Amount in	tī
	66,406 Direct Add	Direct Add	!	Number(s)		ודי
	01/10/2012	0112/2/10		to Group	Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s)	G

<sup>\*</sup> Wisconsin Physicians Service (formerly Mutual of Omaha). WPS confirmed that they transitioned responsibility for these cost reports to Novitas Solutions, Inc. in February 2011 under the J12 MAC transition.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Novitas Solutions, Inc. (formerly Highmark)

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.:
09-1473GC
Group Name: Select Medical 2008 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group
Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary:

Issue: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

14		13	12	=		10		9		<b>∞</b>		7		6		5		4		ω		2		_	#	:	
06-2016		04-2000	44-2011	39-2039		39-2047		39-2037		25-2007		23-2024		23-2033		23-2030		23-2012		15-2016		16-2001		11-2013	Number	Provider	
Select Specialty Hospital - Colorado Springs	Little Rock, Pulaski, Arkansas	Nashville, Davidson, Tennessee Select Specialty Hospital - Little Rock	Camp Hill, Cumperiand, remisylvania Select Specialty Hospital - Nashville	Select Specialty Hospital - Central Pennsylvania	Danville, Montour, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Danville	Erie, Erie, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Erie	Jackson, Hinds, Mississippi	Select Specialty Hospital - Jackson	Ypsilanti, Washtenaw, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Ann Arbor	Saginaw, Saginaw, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Saginaw	Pontiac, Oakland, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Pontiac	Flint, Genesee, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Flint	Fort Wayne, Allen, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Fort Wayne	Davenport, Scott, Iowa	Select Specialty Hospital - Quad Cities	Augusta, Richmond, Georgia	Select Specialty Hospital - Augusta	Provider Name / Location	; ; ;	
01/31/2008		02/29/2008	04/30/2008	01/31/2008		01/31/2008		05/31/2008		02/29/2008		04/30/2008		02/29/2008		01/31/2008		01/31/2008		06/30/2008		01/31/2008		03/31/2008	न्रस	1	
WPS		WPS	WPS	WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS*	MAC	Intermediary /	
05/22/2009		06/29/2009	03/18/2009	04/01/2009		12/23/2008		12/19/2008		12/29/2008		03/26/2009		03/13/2009		02/24/2009		12/24/2008		03/27/2009		12/24/2008		12/24/2008	Determination	Date of Final	≻
08/24/2009		08/24/2009	04/13/2009	04/13/2009		04/13/2009		04/13/2009		04/13/2009		04/13/2009		04/13/2009		04/13/2009		04/13/2009		04/13/2009		04/13/2009		04/13/2009	issue Kequest	Date of Hearing Request / Add	B
94		56	26	12		1111		115		105		18		31		48		110		17		110		110	Days	No. of	C
15		12	Ξ	12		9		12		12		12		∞		Ξ		4		12		4		12	NO.	Audit Adj.	D
92,595		107,087	82,981	36,292		59,884		24,616		208,667		71,296		112,407		34,920		84,440		52,304		9,374		192,622	Connoversy	Amount in	m
Direct Add		Direct Add																							Municer(s)	Prior Case	*=1
08/24/2009		08/24/2009																							to Oloup	Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s)	G

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.:
09-1473GC
Group Name:
Select Medical 2008 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group
Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Issue: Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

															*													L	-	
0	9	27		26		25		24		23		22		21		20		19		8		17		16		15		#		
01-2000	01 2000	52-2008		45-2078		45-2089		39-2036		39-2044		39-2031		36-2019		34-2018		26-2014		23-2035		15-2012		11-2011		08-2000		Number	Provider	
остем ореману тиориат - иншивиан	Kalest Specialty West, Dismission	Select Specialty Hospital - Madison	Desoto, Dallas, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - South Dallas	Conroe, Montgomery, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - Conroe	Latrobe, Westmoreland, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Laurel Highlands	Pittsburgh, Allegheny, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Pittsburgh/UPMC	Johnstown, Cambria, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Johnstown	Cincinnati, Hamilton, Ohio	Select Specialty Hospital - Cincinnati	Durham, Durham, North Carolina	Select Specialty Hospital - Durham	Kansas City, Jackson, Missouri	Select Specialty Hospital - Western Missouri	Kalamazoo, Kalamazoo, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Kalamazoo	Hammond, Lake, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Northwest Indiana	Savannah, Chatham, Georgia	Select Specialty Hospital - Savannah	Wilmington, Newcastle, Delaware	Select Specialty Hospital - Wilmington	Colorado Springs, El Paso, Colorado	Provider Name / Location		
0002112000	00/21/2000	05/31/2008		03/31/2008		02/28/2008		03/31/2008		06/30/2008		04/30/2008		07/31/2008		01/31/2008		02/29/2008		05/31/2008		07/31/2008		04/30/2008		07/31/2008		FYE		
***************************************	W/De	WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS	•	MAC	Intermediary /	
11/10/2007	11/10/2000	05/21/2009		05/22/2009		04/22/2009		07/14/2009		05/22/2009		05/18/2009		07/17/2009		04/03/2009		04/28/2009		03/17/2009		07/16/2009		06/18/2009		08/05/2009		Determination	Date of Final	>
01/22/2010	01/22/2010	08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		Issue Request	Date of Hearing Request / Add	Ř
į	73	95		94		124		41		94		98		38		143		118		160		39		67		19		Days	No. of	С
ï	13	Ξ		12		12		=		12		<b>∞</b>		12		12		12		=		12		12		12		No.	Audit Adj.	Ū
10000	40 <33	38,662	*	369,888		130,748		13,367		48,409		8,607		1,254		24,998		521		88,627		163,243		113,354		37,397		Controversy	Amount in	त्म
	Direct Add	Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Number(s)	Prior Case	נצי
	01/22/2010	08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		08/24/2009		to Group	Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s)	G

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.:

O9-1473GC

Group Name:

Select Medical 2008 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative:

Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary:

Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

43	‡	3	41		40		39		38		37		36		35		34		32		31		30		29		#		
45-2089	+002-04	16 2004	45-2073		44-2016		44-2012		39-2045		28-2001		34-2016		26-2013		23-2038		23-2032		23-2031		15-2013		04-2006		Number		
Select Specialty Hospital - Conroe	Midland, Midland, Texas	San Antonio, Bexar, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - San Antonio	Bristol, Sullivan, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - Tri Cities	Knoxville, Knox, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - Knoxville	McKeesport, Allegheny, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - McKeesport	Omaha, Douglas, Nebraska	Select Specialty Hospital - Omaha	Winston-Salem, Forsyth, North Carolina	Select Specialty Hospital - Winston Salem	St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri	Select Specialty Hospital - St. Louis	Grosse Pointe, Wayne, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Grosse Pointe	Detroit, Wayne, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Northwest Detroit	Taylor, Wayne, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Downriver	Beech Grove, Marion, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Beechgrove	Fort Smith, Sebastian, Arkansas	Select Specialty Hospital - Fort Smith	Birmingham, Jefferson, Alabama	Provider Name / Location		
7/31/08 term	0112112000	07/21/2008	04/30/2008		10/31/2008		07/31/2008		08/31/2008		06/30/2008		07/31/2008		10/31/2008		12/31/2008		08/31/2008		04/30/2008		08/31/2008		08/31/2008		FYE		
WPS	* 5	W/DC	WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		MAC	Intermediant /	
07/19/2010	11/02/2007	11/02/2000	08/21/2009		12/23/2009		10/21/2009		11/25/2009		09/02/2009		10/27/2009		10/27/2009		11/25/2009		10/27/2009		10/26/2009		11/25/2009		11/13/2009		Determination	Date of Rinal	A
01/22/2010	0112212010	0102/2010	01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		Issue Request	Date of Hearing	Βį
178	2	<u>»</u>	154		30		93		58		142		87		87		58		87		88		58		70		Days	₹ ₹	С
12	č	5	12		7		7		12		12		12		12		11		Π		12		4		9		No.	Andit Adi	D
106,439	,	25 418	160,434		10,769		59,062		2,330		31,690		3,298		1,953		49,437		160,269		91,472		103,726		60,076		Controversy	Amount in	tri
Direct Add		Direct Add	Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Number(s)	Prior Case	. <b>"F</b> I
01/22/2010		01/22/2010	01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		to Group	Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s)	G

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.:

Group Name:

Select Medical 2008 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative:

Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary:

Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

57	96		55		54		53		52		51		50		49		48		47		46		45		44		#	
45-2087	44-2015		44-2014		37-2006		36-2024		31-2019		25-2005		17-2007		17-2005		15-2014		15-2010		06-2015		51-2002		45-2022		Provider Number	
Select Specialty Hospital - Longview	Knoxville, Knox, Tennessee	Memphis, Shelby, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - Memphis	Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma	Select Specialty Hospital - Tulsa	Youngstown, Mahoning, Ohio	Select Specialty Hospital - Youngstown	Rochelle Park, Bergen, New Jersey	Select Specialty Hospital - Northeast New Jersey	Gulfport, Harrison, Mississippi	Select Specialty Hospital - Gulfport	Wichita, Sedwick, Kansas	Select Specialty Hospital - Wichita	Kansas City, Wyandotte, Kansas	Select Specialty Hospital - Kansas City	Evansville, Vanderburgh, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Evansville	Greenwood, Johnson, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Indianapolis	Denver, Denver, Colorado	Select Specialty Hospital - Denver	Charleston, Kanawha, West Virginia	Select Specialty Hospital - Charleston	Carrolton, Dallas, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - Dallas	Conroe, Montgomery, Texas	Provider Name / Location	
12/31/2008	12/31/2008		11/30/2008		08/31/2008		12/31/2008		10/31/2008		12/31/2008		12/31/2008		10/31/2008		12/31/2008		11/30/2008		09/30/2008		08/31/2008		12/31/2008		FYE	
WPS	¥fö	į	WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		Intermediary / MAC	
05/25/2010	03/23/2010		03/12/2010		03/08/2010		04/29/2010		02/26/2010		06/18/2010		04/21/2010		02/08/2010		05/10/2010		04/26/2010		01/15/2010		12/17/2009		11/13/2009		Date of Final Determination	≯
07/02/2010	0//02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		Date of Hearing Request / Add Issue Request	₩.
38	Į	2	112		116		64		126		14		72		144		53		67		168		36		70		No. of Days	С
12	5	;	14		13		14		14		15		12		13		=		13		12		12		12		Audit Adj. No.	ט
97,934	1,0,4		35,239		6,944		14,062		206,421		157,476		26,361		5,488		33,533		167,099		68,333		118,875		66,858		Amount in Controversy	Ħ
Direct Add	Direct Wild		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Prior Case Number(s)	ודי
07/02/2010	01102/2010	07/02/2010	07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		07/02/2010		01/22/2010		01/22/2010		Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s) to Group	G

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.:
Group Name:

10-1130GC Select Medical 2009 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Issue: Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

14	;	13	12		11		10		9		∞		7		6		Ŋ		4		ω		2		1	#	
39-2037	;	44-2011	23-2012		39-2036		45-2078	•	16-2001		39-2039		25-2007		23-2024		23-2035		39-2047		34-2018		06-2016		23-2030	Provider Number	
Select Specialty Hospital - Erie	Nashville, Davidson, Tennessee	Flint, Genesee, Michigan Select Specialty Hospital - Nashville	Select Specialty Hospital - Flint	Latrobe, Westmoreland, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Laurel Highlands	Desoto, Dallas, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - South Dallas	Davenport, Scott, Iowa	Select Specialty Hospital - Quad Cites	Camp Hill, Cumberland, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Central Pennsylvania	Jackson, Hinds, Mississippi	Select Specialty Hospital - Jackson	Ypsilanti, Washtenaw, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Ann Arbor	Kalamazoo, Kalamazoo, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Kalamazoo	Danville, Montour, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Danville	Durham, Durham, North Carolina	Select Specialty Hospital - Durham	Colorado Springs, El Paso, Colorado	Select Specialty Hospital - Colorado Springs	Pontiac, Oakland, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Pontiac	Provider Name / Location	
05/31/2009		04/30/2009	01/31/2009		03/31/2009		03/31/2009		01/31/2009		01/31/2009		02/28/2009		04/30/2009		05/31/2009		01/31/2009		01/31/2009		01/31/2009		01/31/2009	FYE	
WPS		WPS	WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS*	Intermediary / MAC	
06/01/2010		05/28/2010	05/24/2010		05/11/2010		05/10/2010		05/06/2010		04/23/2010		04/23/2010		03/19/2010		03/17/2010		03/10/2010		03/02/2010		02/12/2010		11/12/2009	Date of Final Determination	A
07/06/2010		07/06/2010	07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010	Date of Hearing Request / Add Issue Request	ש
35		39	43		56		57		61		74		74		109		111		118		126		144		40	No. of Days	C
17		12	<b>∞</b>		10		10 .		14		12		13		12		7		4		13		13		10	Audit Adj. No.	מ
18,327		4,122	88,116		6,093		255,930	٠	34,894		39,734		175,374		16,020		17,203		24,371		84,809		49,928		43,728	Amount in Controversy	ਯ
																								-		Prior Case Number(s)	ידי
																										Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s) to Group	G

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.: Group Name:

10-1130GC Select Medical 2009 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary:

Issue: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

28		27	26		25		24		23		22		21		20		19		18		17		16		15		#		
23-2031		15-2016	28-2001		36-2019		52-2008		11-2011		11-2013		18-2003		43-2002		39-2044		10-2020		39-2045		23-2033		04-2000		Provider Number	;	
Select Specialty Hospital - Downriver	Fort Wayne, Allen, Indiana	Omaha, Douglas, Nebraska Select Specialty Hospital - Fort Wayne	Select Specialty Hospital - Omaha	Cincinnati, Hamilton, Ohio	Select Specialty Hospital - Cincinnati	Madison, Dane, Wisconsin	Select Specialty Hospital - Madison	Savannah, Chatham, Georgia	Select Specialty Hospital - Savannah	Augusta, Richmond, Georgia	Select Specialty Hospital - Augusta	Lexington, Fayette, Kentucky	Select Specialty Hospital - Lexington	Sioux Falls, Minnehaha, South Dakota	Select Specialty Hospital - Sioux Falls	Pittsburgh, Allegheny, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Pittsburgh/UPMC	Tallahassee, Leon, Florida	Select Specialty Hospital - Tallahassee	McKeesport, Allegheny, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - McKeesport	Saginaw, Saginaw, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Saginaw	Little Rock, Pulaski, Arkansas	Select Specialty Hospital - Little Rock	Erie, Erie, Pennsylvania	Provider Name / Location		
04/30/2009		06/30/2009	06/30/2009		07/31/2009		05/31/2009		04/30/2009		03/31/2009		05/31/2009		02/28/2009		06/30/2009		02/28/2009		08/31/2009		02/28/2009		02/28/2009		FYE		
WPS		WPS	WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		MAC	:	
08/23/2010		08/19/2010	08/06/2010		07/14/2010		07/14/2010		07/14/2010		07/13/2010		06/16/2010		06/22/2010		06/18/2010		06/18/2010		06/16/2010		06/15/2010		06/07/2010		Determination		٨
11/30/2010		11/30/2010	11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		07/06/2010		Issue Request	Date of Hearing	В,
99		103	116		139		139		139		140		167		14		18		18		20		21		29		Days	ž S	С
10		11	14		10		12		00		16, 17		9		7		٠,		12		9		9		12		Audit Adj. No.		D
111,608		35,548	2,150		10,214		12,989		63,188		194,454		2,509		111		11,212		11,234		11,842		55,371		96,954		Controversy	<b>A</b>	Ħ
Direct Add		Direct Add	Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add														Number(s)	Dior Case	<b>т</b> .
11/30/2010		11/30/2010	11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010														to Group	Date of Direct	ဝ

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.: Group Name:

10-1130GC Select Medical 2009 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Issue: Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

42		41	40	39	38		37	36		35		34		33		32		31		30		29		#	4
45-2087		37-2009	15-2014	31-2019	23-2032		15-2013	17-2005		51-2002		44-2014		15-2012		08-2000		34-2016		45-2073		39-2031		Provider Number	
Select Specialty Hospital - Longview	Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma	Select Specialty Hospital - Tulsa Midtown	Select Specialty Hospital - Evansville	Select Specialty Hospital - Northeast New Jersey  Rochelle Park Hergen New Jersey	Select Specialty Hospital - Northwest Detroit  Detroit, Wayne, Michigan	Beech Grove, Marion, Indiana	Kansas City, Wyandotte, Kansas  Select Specialty Hospital - Beechgrove	Select Specialty Hospital - Kansas City	Charleston, Kanawha, West Virginia	Select Specialty Hospital - Charleston	Memphis, Shelby, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - Memphis	Hammond, Lake, Indiana	Select Specialty Hospital - Northwest Indiana	Wilmington, Newcastle, Delaware	Select Specialty Hospital - Wilmington	Winston-Salem, Forsyth, North Carolina	Select Specialty Hospital - Winston Salem	San Antonio, Bexar, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - San Antonio	Johnstown, Cambria, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Johnstown	Taylor, Wayne, Michigan	Provider Name / Location	
12/31/2009		08/31/2009	12/31/2009	10/31/2009	08/31/2009		08/31/2009	10/31/2009		08/31/2009		11/30/2009		07/31/2009		07/31/2009		07/31/2009		04/30/2009		04/30/2009		FYE	
WPS		WPS	WPS	WPS	WPS		WPS	WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		Intermediary / MAC	
11/12/2010		11/12/2010	11/10/2010	11/05/2010	11/05/2010		11/04/2010	11/02/2010		10/22/2010		10/19/2010		09/22/2010		09/20/2010		09/13/2010		09/03/2010		09/01/2010		Date of Final Determination	≯
11/30/2010		11/30/2010	11/30/2010	11/30/2010	11/30/2010		11/30/2010	11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		Date of Hearing Request / Add Issue Request	<b>B</b>
18		18	20	25	25		26	28		39		42		69		71		78		88		90		No. of Days	C
10		14	13	13	13		13	11		11		13		13		11		15		14		12		Audit Adj. No.	Ð
14,252		236,893	42,027	251,799	43,030		105,541	561		120,868		16,818		206,266		60,146		12,678***		121,234		17,325		Amount in Controversy	ਧਾ
Direct Add		Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add		Direct Add	Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Prior Case Number(s)	'n
11/30/2010		11/30/2010	11/30/2010	11/30/2010	11/30/2010		11/30/2010	11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		Add / Transfer(s) to Group	G. G.

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.: Group Name:

10-1130GC Select Medical 2009 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Issue: Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

	53		52		51		50		49		48		47		46		45		44		43		#		
	45-2022		26-2013		26-2017		06-2015		45-2084		44-2015		36-2024		23-2038		04-2006		01-2008		25-2005		Number	Provider	
Carrolton, Dallas, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - Dallas	St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri	Select Specialty Hospital - St. Louis	Springfield, Green, Missouri	Select Specialty Hospital - Springfield	Denver, Denver, Colorado	Select Specialty Hospital - Denver	Midland, Midland, Texas	Select Specialty Hospital - Midland	Knoxville, Knox, Tennessee	Select Specialty Hospital - North Knoxville	Youngstown, Mahoning, Ohio	Select Specialty Hospital - Youngstown	Grosse Pointe, Wayne Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Gross Pointe	Fort Smith, Sebastian, Arkansas	Select Specialty Hospital - Fort Smith	Birmingham, Jefferson, Alabama	Select Specialty Hospital - Birmingham	Gulfport, Harrison, Mississippi	Select Specialty Hospital - Gulfcoast	Longview, Gregg, Texas	Provider Name / Location		
	12/31/2009	•	10/31/2009		10/31/2009		09/30/2009		07/31/2009		12/31/2009		12/31/2009		12/31/2009		08/31/2009		08/31/2009		12/31/2009		FYE		
	Novitas		Novitas**		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		MAC	Intermediary /	
	09/29/2011		09/27/2011		07/12/2011		01/11/2011		01/04/2011		12/20/2010		12/10/2010		12/02/2010		11/24/2010		11/12/2010		11/12/2010		Determination	Date of Final	>
	01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		04/29/2011		04/29/2011		04/29/2011		04/29/2011		04/29/2011		04/29/2011		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		Issue Request	Date of Hearing Request / Add	8
	103		105		182		108		115		130		140		148		156		18		18		Days	No. of	С
	14		12		12		13		11		12		12		12		<b>∞</b>		13		12		Audit Adj. No.		ם
	37,717		9,268		6,355		103,475		38,915		108		748		538		34,776		62,757		116,680		Controversy	Amount in	দে
	Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Number(s)	Prior Case	শ
	01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		04/29/2011		04/29/2011		04/29/2011		04/29/2011		04/29/2011		04/29/2011		11/30/2010		11/30/2010		to Group	Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s)	G

<sup>\*\*</sup> Novitas Solutions, Inc. (formerly Highmark)

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Protested amount on cost report. Intermediary incorrectly noted zero amount on NPR.

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.: Group Name:

11-0590GC Select Medical 2010 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group .

Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary:

Issue: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

14		13		12		11		10		9		<b>∞</b>		7		6		Ç,		4		ω		2		-	#		
45-2073		39-2036		11-2013		04-2000		26-2014		23-2033		43-2002		34-2018		39-2039		10-2020		16-2001		39-2047		25-2007		06-2016	Number	Provider	
Select Specialty Hospital - San Antonio	Latrobe, Westmoreland, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Laurel Highlands	Augusta, Richmond, Georgia	Select Specialty Hospital - Augusta	Little Rock, Pulaski, Arkansas	Select Specialty Hospital - Little Rock	Kansas City, Jackson, Missouri	Select Specialty Hospital - Western Missouri	Saginaw, Saginaw, Michigan	Select Specialty Hospital - Saginaw	Sioux Falls, Minnehaha, South Dakota	Select Specialty Hospital - Sioux Falls	Durham, Durham, North Carolina	Select Specialty Hospital - Durham	Camp Hill, Cumberland, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Central Pennsylvania	Tallahassee, Leon, Florida	Select Specialty Hospital - Tallahassee	Davenport, Scott, Iowa	Select Specialty Hospital - Quad Cites	Danville, Montour, Pennsylvania	Select Specialty Hospital - Danville	Jackson, Hinds, Mississippi	Select Specialty Hospital - Jackson	Colorado Springs, El Paso, Colorado	Select Specialty Hospital - Colorado Springs	Provider Name / Location		
04/30/2010		03/31/2010		03/31/2010		02/28/2010		02/28/2010	•	02/28/2010		02/28/2010		01/31/2010		01/31/2010		02/28/2010		01/31/2010		01/31/2010		02/28/2010	-	01/31/2010	FYE		
Novitas		Novitas		Novitas		Novitas		Novitas		Novitas		Novitas		Novitas**		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS		WPS*	Intermediary / MAC		
08/30/2011		09/14/2011		09/08/2011		08/18/2011		08/11/2011		08/10/2011		08/10/2011		09/30/2011		12/15/2010		12/14/2010		12/10/2010		11/23/2010		11/17/2010		11/17/2010	Determination	Date of Final	A
01/10/2012	•	01/10/2012		01/10/2012 .		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		04/28/2011		04/28/2011		04/28/2011		04/28/2011		04/28/2011		04/28/2011	Issue Request	Date of Hearing Request / Add	8
133		118		124		145		152		153		153		102		134		135		139		156		162		162	Days	No. of	С
805		806		807		803		804		805		800		ω		10		12		12		7		10		13	Audit Adj. No.		D
5,638		15,086		70,895		40,415		1,682		1,552		76		221,717		96,423		68,494		8,826		7,898		16,517		35,496	Controversy	Amount in	Ħ
Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add		Direct Add													Number(s)	Prior Case	ਸ
01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012		01/10/2012													to Group	Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s)	G

San Antonio, Bexar, Texas

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Group Name: Select Medical 2010 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary: Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Issue:

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 29 39-2031 23-2021 39-2044 39-2037 23-2031 44-2012 28-2001 15-2016 11-2011 44-2011 34-2016 08-2000 36-2019 42-2009 10-2017 Select Specialty Hospital - Nashville Select Specialty Hospital - Pittsburgh/UPMC Select Specialty Hospital - Savannah Select Specialty Hospital - Johnstown Select Specialty Hospital - Cincinnati Select Specialty Hospital - Omaha Select Specialty Hospital - Ft Wayne Great Lakes Specialty Hospital - Hackley Select Specialty Hospital - Erie Select Specialty Hospital - Downriver Select Specialty Hospital - Panama City Select Specialty Hospital - Wilmington Select Specialty Hospital - Knoxville Nashville, Davidson, Tennessee Regency Hospital - Greenville Select Specialty Hospital - Winston Salem Knoxville, Knox, Tennessee Omaha, Douglas, Nebraska Fort Wayne, Allen, Indiana Muskegon, Muskegon, Michigan Pittsburgh, Allegheny, Pennsylvania Taylor, Wayne, Michigan Savannah, Chatham, Georgia Johnstown, Cambria, Pennsylvania Winston-Salem, Forsyth, North Carolina Panama City, Bay, Florida Wilmington, Newcastle, Delaware Cincinnati, Hamilton, Ohio Provider Name / Location 04/30/2010 04/30/2010 07/31/2010 06/30/2010 06/30/2010 04/30/2010 04/30/2010 07/31/2010 07/31/2010 07/31/2010 07/31/2010 07/31/2010 06/30/2010 06/30/2010 05/31/2010 FYE ntermediary / MAC Novitas Determination Date of Final 09/13/2011 08/31/2011 01/06/2012 10/06/2011 12/29/2011 12/22/2011 12/20/2011 12/20/2011 12/15/2011 12/07/2011 12/07/2011 12/09/2011 11/23/2011 11/16/2011 10/31/2011 Date of Hearing Request / Add Issue Request 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 ₩ No. of 119 132 34 32 55 21 8 a 12 19 21 21 26  $\frac{\omega}{4}$ 48 \udit Adj. No 805 800 803 806 805 800 800 802 \* 804 802 804 805 804 803 U Controversy Amount in ĮΠ 37,213 28,228 12,149 43,098 15,579 26,438 13,283 32,838 16,073 28,035 46,289 6,203 7,610 1,891 748 Direct Add Prior Case Direct Add Number(s) Add / Transfer(s) Date of Direct 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 01/10/2012 to Group G

Date Prepared: 03/04/2013

Case No.:

Group Name: 11-0590GC Select Medical 2010 Dual Eligible (DE) Bad Debt CIRP Group

Group Representative: Jason M. Healy

Lead Intermediary:

Novitas Solutions, Inc.

Whether the CMS must-bill policy applies to the Providers' dual-eligible bad debts when the Providers did not participate in a Medicaid program.

49 11-2	48 10-2	47 36-2	46 45-2022	45 25-2005	44 17-2		Provider # Number	
11-2009 Select Specialty Hospital - Atlanta	10-2001 Select Specialty Hospital - Miami Miami, Miami-Dade, Florida	36-2024 Select Specialty Hospital - Youngstown Youngstown, Mahoning, Ohio	9022 Select Specialty Hospital - Dallas Carrolton, Dallas, Texas	9005 Select Specialty Hospital - Gulfcoast Gulfport, Harrison, Mississippi	<ul><li>17-2007 Select Specialty Hospital - Wichita</li><li>Wichita, Sedgwick, Kansas</li></ul>	Greenville, Greenville, South Carolina Knoxville, Knox, Tennessee	ider Provider Name / Location	
12/31/2010	08/31/2010	12/31/2010	12/31/2010	12/31/2010	12/31/2010		FYE	
Novitas	Novitas	Novitas	Novitas	Novitas	Novitas		Intermediary / MAC	
10/29/2012	08/03/2012	06/22/2012	06/08/2012	06/08/2012	06/07/2012		Date of Final Determination	Α
12/05/2012	12/05/2012	12/05/2012	12/05/2012	12/05/2012	12/05/2012		Date of Hearing Request / Add / Issue Request	B -
37	124	166	180	180	181		No. of Days	C
800	800	806	805	804	804		No. of Days Audit Adj. No.	מ
1,155	7,663	748	2,503	90,789	963		Amount in Controversy	ਸ਼
Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add	Direct Add		Prior Case Number(s)	ਧ
12/05/2012	12/05/2012	12/05/2012	12/05/2012	12/05/2012	12/05/2012		Date of Direct Add / Transfer(s) to Group	G

<sup>\*</sup> Wisconsin Physicians Service (formerly Mutual of Omaha). WPS confirmed that they transitioned responsibility for these cost reports to Novitas Solutions, Inc. in February 2011 under the J12 MAC transition.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Novitas Solutions, Inc. (formerly Highmark)

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Fiscal Intermediary (FI) did not make adjustment to remove protested amount.