DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 7500 Security Boulevard, Mail Stop C2-21-16 Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850



Center for Clinical Standards and Quality/Survey & Certification Group

Ref: S&C: 16-03-ESRD

DATE: November 20, 2015

TO: State Survey Agency Directors

FROM: Director

Survey and Certification Group

SUBJECT: Release of Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) Core Survey

Data Worksheet

Memorandum Summary

ESRD Core Survey Data Worksheet: The worksheet has been updated for FY 2016 with current clinical indicators and corresponding national thresholds for facility comparison. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) is providing the revised worksheet to ensure consistent administration of a standardized ESRD survey process and is requiring surveyor's use of the ESRD Core Survey Data Worksheet for all recertification surveys of ESRD facilities.

Background

The ESRD Core Survey Data Worksheet is the primary surveyor tool for effectively focusing survey activities on clinical areas where an individual facility's data indicates improvements are needed. The Data Worksheet corresponds to the key data elements from the Dialysis Facility Report, and guides the surveyor in determining which clinical areas will be reviewed for a survey.

It is important for the survey process to remain current with the quickly evolving clinical aspects of dialysis care, including changes in data elements, emerging clinical indicators and national averages to use as thresholds for facility comparison. The ESRD Core Survey Data Worksheet is updated each fiscal year to assure the standardized survey process remains current.

Highlights of Changes for FY 2016

- Fluid management: hemodialysis clinical indicator has been changed to Average Ultrafiltration Rate (UFR) >13 ml/kg/hr (page 5 of Data Worksheet)
- Uncorrected Calcium: changed to uncorrected calcium from corrected calcium as clinical indicator (pages 5 and 6)
- Hospital Readmissions: added to materials needed to conduct survey (page 3)
- National Averages: all of the national averages for the clinical indicators have been updated to current data (page 7)

Page 2- State Survey Agency Directors

All State Surveyors who conduct ESRD surveys, State Agency ESRD Supervisors, and Regional Office ESRD personnel should be aware of the changes to the Data Worksheet to assure consistent administration of a standardized ESRD survey process. To assure the administration of a standardized ESRD Core Survey process nationally, the FY 2016 ESRD Core Survey Data Worksheet should be used for all recertification surveys of ESRD facilities.

Contact: Please email any questions to the ESRD mailbox at <u>ESRDQuestions@cms.hhs.gov</u>.

Effective Date: Immediately. This policy should be communicated with all survey and certification staff, their managers and the State/Regional Office training coordinators within 30 days of this memorandum.

/s/ Thomas E. Hamilton

Attachment- FY2016 ESRD Core Survey Data Worksheet

cc: Survey and Certification Regional Office Management

Facility:		Date:		
CCN:	Surveyor:			
	•			

Use of this worksheet: The data elements that must be reviewed for a survey will change over time due to the dynamic nature of data pertaining to the care and clinical outcomes of dialysis patients. This worksheet will be revised each fiscal year (FY) to reflect clinical indicators, outcome goals, and outcome thresholds based on current national data.

Contents: There are 3 sections of this worksheet:

- I. Presurvey Preparation and Dialysis Facility Report (DFR) Review (pages 1-2): To review and evaluate the facility outcomes data from the FY 2016 DFR, as well as facility survey history review, and ESRD Network contact
- II. Entrance Conference Materials List with Clinical Outcomes Tables (pages 3-6): To be copied and given to the facility
- III. Clinical Outcomes Thresholds Table (page 7): To compare the current facility clinical outcomes against current national benchmarks and determine the data-driven focus areas for the survey

I. PRESURVEY PREPARATION AND DIALYSIS FACILITY REPORT REVIEW:

Download and Review the FY 2016 DFR for the facility. The DFR and the partially pre-populated "FY 2016 Pre-survey DFR Extract" for each facility, as well as the Region and State Profiles may be accessed at www.DialysisData.org. Enter your Username and Password then click "Log in" to log onto the Secure DialysisData.org web site. The *DFR* tab (at the top of the page) is where you may obtain the current DFR for all facilities in your State or Region. The *Profiles* tab (at the top of the page) contains the partially prepopulated FY 2016 Pre-survey DFR Extract for each facility, as well as the Region and State profiles, which contain the Outcomes list.

Note how the facility is ranked on the State Profile/Outcomes List. Review the information about the facility on pages 1-4 of the DFR. To guide your review of the DFR data tables, you may use STAR or download the FY 2016 Pre-survey DFR Extract for the facility.

STAR Users: You do not need to download the pre-populated FY 2016 Pre-survey DFR Extract for the facility. STAR 3.7 and later versions display the key DFR data elements for each facility, automatically uploaded from ASPEN with the survey shell. Follow the guidance on STAR screen [3] in the Presurvey Preparation task.

Non STAR users: Review the FY 2016 Pre-survey DFR Extract in conjunction with the facility DFR. Review each pre-populated data element on the DFR Extract, which are key aspects of facility performance. Note trends in outcomes over the 4 year period. For standardized mortality (SMR) and transplant ratios (STR), the 4-year average is a more consistent measure of facility performance. For standardized hospitalization ratio (SHR) and standardized readmission ratio (SRR) the most recent 1-year statistic is most meaningful.

Record in the "Outcome and Trend Conclusions" column of the FY 2016 Pre-survey DFR Extract how the facility compares with U.S. Averages. Note declining or improving trends and flag which elements are worse than the U.S. Average. Consider those clinical areas for **preliminary data-driven focus areas** for the survey. Attach the completed FY 2016 Pre-survey DFR Extract document to this worksheet.

Preliminary data-driven focus area	
1	4
2. 3.	
facility files maintained by the State A Does your review of the facility surve	laint History (12-18 months): This information may be located in Agency office, in ASPEN, and in Table 15 of the facility DFR. rey and complaint history indicate areas of concerns that should be escribe:
	the Network to ask about concerns related to involuntary discharges, related to the ESRD Core Survey process.
Network person contacted	Position:
Is the facility under any special Netw	vork quality monitoring? If yes, describe
	charges or patterns of involuntary transfers from the facility? If yes, (s) identified:
Have there been patterns of patient co	omplaints about the facility? If yes, describe any pattern(s) identified:
describe your concerns:	ve about the facility that the survey team should be aware of? If yes,
Record additional areas of concern	1 for review, based on your review of facility survey and
complaint history and contact with	
1	4
2. 3.	5
J	6

II. ENTRANCE CONFERENCE MATERIALS LIST/CLINICAL OUTCOMES TABLES

Guidance to surveyors: Make a copy of the Entrance Conference Materials List/Clinical Outcomes Tables (pages 3-6) to give to the facility person in charge during Introductions. Attach the completed copy to this worksheet.

Fac	cility: Date:				
	Oocuments/items needed for the survey: Please return this form to the survey team leader with the urrent information requested.				
Nec	eded within 3 hours:				
1.	List of current patients by name, separated into modalities				
2.	List of facility key personnel: medical director, administrator, nurse manager, social worker, dietitian, chief technician, and home training nurse(s)				
3.	☐ Current in-center hemodialysis patient schedule by days & shifts with any isolation patients identified (seating chart or assignment sheet)				
4.	List of patients admitted to this facility within the past 90 days who are currently on census (do not include visiting patients) separated by modality with date of admission				
5.	List of patients who have been designated as "unstable" for any month in the past 3 months, including reason for unstable and month				
6.	List of all patients who were involuntarily discharged (not transferred to another outpatient dialysis facility) from this facility in the past 12 months				
7.	List of all discharged patients categorized as "lost to follow up" (i.e., not transferred out or discontinued dialysis) for the past 12 months				
8.	List of home dialysis (HD or PD) patients scheduled to be seen at the facility during the survey				
9.	List of residents of long term care facilities WHO RECEIVE THEIR HD or PD AT THE LTC facility and the name of the LTC where they are receiving dialysis				
10.	☐ Hospitalization logs with admitting diagnoses listed for 6 months				
11.	List of current patients readmitted to the hospital within 30 days of discharge in past 6 months, separated by modality				
12.	☐ Infection logs for past 6 months				
13.	List of in-center HD patients who are dialyzed with 0 K+ or 1.0 K+ dialysate				
14.	All patients' individual laboratory results for hemoglobin, Kt/V, corrected calcium, phosphorus and albumin for the current 3 months; separated by modality				

Materials needed by the end of Day 1 of survey:

 Vaccination information: # of patients who received a complete series of hepatitis B vaccine # of patients who received the influenza vaccine between August 1 and March 31 # of patients who received the pneumococcal vaccine 	
16. Staff schedule for the last two weeks by day	
 17. Policy and procedure manuals for patient care, water treatment, dialysate preparation and deli and dialyzer reprocessing/reuse, if applicable Anemia management protocol 	very
18. Patient suggestion/complaint/grievance log for past 6 months	
19. Adverse events (e.g., clinical variances, medical errors) documentation for the past 6 months	
20. QAPI team meeting minutes for past 6 months and any supporting materials	
21. Copy of CMS-approved waivers for medical director and/or isolation room	
22. Facility Life Safety Code attestation or waiver (required if in-center or home training tx area on not provide exit at grade level or if the facility is adjacent to an industrial high hazard occupancy)	
23. Staff practice audits for infection prevention while performing direct patient care (12 months)	
 24. For Water and Dialysate Review: logs for: Daily water system monitoring-2 months Total chlorine testing-2 months Bacterial cultures and endotoxin results-water and dialysate-6 months Chemical analysis of product water-12 months Staff practice audits for water testing, dialysate mixing & testing and microbiological sampling-12 months 	
 25. For Equipment Maintenance Review: Documentation of preventative maintenance and repair of hemodialysis machines-12 monor Documentation of calibration of equipment used for machine maintenance-12months Documentation of calibration of equipment used to test dialysate pH/conductivity-2 monton 	
 26. For Dialyzer Reprocessing Review, if applicable, logs for: Bacterial cultures and endotoxin results from reuse room sites-6 months Preventative maintenance and repair of reprocessing equipment-12 months Reuse QA audits-12 months 	
Materials needed by noon on Day 2 of survey	
27. Completed "Personnel File Review" Worksheet (or same information generated electronically	7)
28. Completed "CMS 3427-End Stage Renal Disease Application and Survey and Certification Report"	

Signature of person completing this form	Date:
Needed within 3 hours. Please fill in the tables below w	ith the facility data based on the most
current QAPI information. Provide the average for the n	umber of months listed next to each indicator.
List additional natient names on a senarate sheet of paper i	fneeded

Clinical Outcomes Table for Hemodialysis (Designate if patient is on Home Hemodialysis)

Indicator	MAT Goal Unless Other Specified	% of HD Pts with	List Current HD Patients as Stated
Adequacy (3 mo)		Kt/V <1.2	HD pts not meeting goal ≥2 mo
Single pool Kt/V	\geq 1.2 for 3 tx/week	%	1
8 1			2.
Standardized Kt/V	≥2.0 weekly for ≥4	Kt/V <2.0	3
Standardized IXt/ V	tx/week		3
	tx/week	%	4
		4	5
Anemia (3 mo)	For Hgb. <10, focus	Hgb <10 g/dL	HD pts with Hgb $<$ 10 in \ge 2 mo
Hemoglobin – pts' last	on symptoms,	%	1
value of month	diagnosis and		2
	treatment of anemia		3
			4
			5.
Min anal/hana (2 ma)	<10.2mg/dL	Ca >10.2	HD pts w/Ca >10.2 &/or PO4 >7.0 in \ge 2
Mineral/bone (3 mo)	<10.2mg/qL		1
Calcium (uncorrected)		%	mo
			1
Phosphorus (PO4)	3.5-5.5 mg/dL	PO4 >7.0	2
		%	3
			4
			5.
Nutrition	≥4 g/dL for BCG;	Alb <4.0	HD pts w/ Alb <3.5 in ≥2 mos
Albumin (3 mo)	Lab normal for BCP	%	<u> </u>
Albumin (5 mo)	Lao normai for BC1		1
			2
			3
			4
			5
Fluid management	Avg UFR <13ml/kg/hr	Avg UFR >13	HD pts w/avg UFR>13 ml/kg/hr in ≥2 mo
(3 mo)		ml/kg/hr	1
Avg ultrafiltration rate		%	2
(UFR)			3.
(===)			4
			4
Vascular access (VA)	↓ CVC rate	CVCs >90 days	HD pts with CVC >90 days/3 mo
	1 CVC rate		
(12 mo)		%	1
CVCs >90 days/3 mo			2
		VA infection rate	3
VA infection rate/100	↓ VA infection rate		4
pt mo		per 100 pt mo	5
[# events ÷ total mo pts			
on HD in 12 mo] x 100			
Transplant waitlist	Interested pts are	Transplant	Provide a copy of the transplant waitlist,
(12 mo)	referred for transplant	waitlist rate %	transplant program(s) exclusion criteria,
% of all pts age <70 on	unless excluded by	,, 4111157 1410 / 0	and procedure for candidacy evaluation
waitlist any time during	evaluation or listed		and referral of patients.
	exclusion criteria		and referrar of patients.
last 12 mo	exclusion criteria		

Signature of person com	pleting this form	Date:

Peritoneal Dialysis Clinical Outcomes Table

	MAT Cool Halans		T
Indicator	MAT Goal Unless Other Specified	% of PD Pts with	List PD Pts as Stated
Adequacy (6 mo) Kt/V	≥1.7 weekly	Kt/V <1.7 %	PD pts not meeting goal in last 6 mo 1
Anemia (3 mo) Hemoglobin – pts' last value of month	For Hgb. <10, focus on symptoms, diagnosis and treatment of anemia	Hgb <10 g/dL %	PD pts w/Hgb <10g/dL for ≥2 mo 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Mineral/bone (3 mo) Calcium (uncorrected)	<10.2 mg/dL	Ca >10.2	Pts w/ Ca >10.2 &/or PO4 >7.0 for ≥2 mo 1 2
Phosphorus (PO4)	3.5-5.5 mg/dL	PO4 >7.0 %	3. 4. 5.
Nutrition (3 mo) Albumin	≥4g/dL BCG Lab normal for BCP	Alb <4.0 %	PD pts w/Alb <3.5 in ≥2mos 1 2 3 4 5.
Peritonitis rate (12 mo) May be expressed as: • Episodes per pt. year at risk [episodes ÷ (total PD pt mo ÷ 12 mo)]; OR • Episodes per 100 pt mo [episodes ÷ total PD pt mo] x 100	Minimize peritonitis episodes	Peritonitis infection rate Check how calculated: pepisodes per pt. year at risk OR pepisodes per 100 pt. mo	Current pts w/ peritonitis in past 6 mo 1
Transplant waitlist (12 mo) % of all pts age <70 on waitlist any time during last 12 mo	Interested patients are referred for transplant unless excluded by evaluation or listed exclusion criteria	Transplant waitlist rate %	Provide a copy of the transplant waitlist, transplant program(s) exclusion criteria, and procedure for candidacy evaluation and referral of patients

III. CLINICAL OUTCOMES THRESHOLDS TABLE

Prior to the Entrance Conference review the current patient outcomes data submitted. Compare the current facility outcomes listed in the "% of (HD or PD) Pts with" columns of the HD and PD Clinical Outcomes Tables to the applicable entry in the "US Threshold" columns from the table below, where available. Check "Yes" if facility outcomes are ABOVE the US Threshold, except for transplant waitlist, check "Yes" if BELOW the US Threshold.

Clinical Outcomes Thresholds Table for FY 2016						
HD Indicators	US Threshold	Above Threshold?	PD Indicators	US Threshold	Above Threshold?	
Adequacy: Single pool Kt/V <1.2 Standardized Kt/V <2.0 if ≥4x/week or nocturnal	2.5%* Not	☐ Yes ☐ No	Adequacy: Kt/V <1.7	7.9%*	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	reported*					
Anemia:	14.1%*	☐ Yes	Anemia:	25.7%*	☐ Yes	
Hemoglobin <10 g/dL		□ No	Hemoglobin <10 g/dL		□ No	
Mineral/bone: Calcium uncorrected >10.2 mg/dL	4.2%*	☐ Yes ☐ No	Mineral/bone: Calcium uncorrected >10.2 mg/dL	4.2%*	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	11.00/ 1	☐ Yes	DI 1 .70 /II	44.00/#	Yes	
Phosphorus >7.0 mg/dL	11.0%*	□ No	Phosphorus >7.0 mg/dL	11.0%*	□ No	
Nutrition: Albumin <4.0 g/dL BCG; lab normal BCP	Albumin 62%**	☐ Yes ☐ No	Nutrition: Albumin <4.0 g/dL BCG; lab normal BCP	Albumin 62%**	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Fluid management: Avg UFR >13 ml/kg/hr.	8.9%*	☐ Yes ☐ No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Vascular access (VA): CVCs >90 days/3 mo	10.2%*	☐ Yes ☐ No	Peritonitis rate Peritonitis episodes per patient year at risk	.36***	☐ Yes ☐ No	
HD VA infection rate /100 pt mo	1.68*	☐ Yes ☐ No	OR Peritonitis episodes per 100 patient mo	3.00***		
HD Indicators	US Threshold	Below Threshold?	PD Indicators	US Threshold	Below Threshold?	
Transplant waitlist	24.1%*	☐ Yes	Transplant waitlist	24.1%*	☐ Yes	
	See Note	No No	<age 70<="" td=""><td>See Note</td><td>No</td></age>	See Note	No	
ercentage of patients above the threshold for any given month *DOPPS Practice Monitor, April 2015: patient-level 3 month average through December 2014 **Piraino B et al., ISPD Position Statement on Reducing the Risks of Peritoneal Dialysis-Related Infections, 2011 Cransplant Waitlist: If the facility DFR and current transplant waitlist % is lower than the national threhshold, eview requested information to assure patients are being educated and referred as required (V458, 513, 554, 561). Lost to Follow Up": If there are >3 patients listed as "lost to follow up" (#7 on Entrance Conference Materials ist), ask facility to explain the circumstances of those patients' discharges without transfers to other dialysis accilities or discontinued dialysis. If you identify concerns that patients' rights may have been violated, you may wish to review those patients' closed medical records pertinent to their discharges. Determine the data-driven focus areas for the survey (clinical areas for review): Discuss the selection of the ata-driven focus areas for the survey with the administrative person. If SHR &/or SRR on DFR are high, include						
Lost to Follow Up": If the ist), ask facility to explain acilities or discontinued diacilities to review those patients. Determine the data-driven facus areas for its eview focus areas focus areas for its eview focus areas focus are	facility DFR and n to assure patient to assure patient the circumstance alysis. If you ident is a closed medical focus areas for the survey with the survey wi	Reducing the Risks I current transplants are being educts listed as "lost es of those patientify concerns that I records pertine the survey (cline the administrativ	s of Peritoneal Dialysis-Relate lant waitlist % is lower than lucated and referred as requ t to follow up" (#7 on Entro nts' discharges without tran at patients' rights may have ent to their discharges. nical areas for review): Da e person. If SHR &/or SRR	n the national thi uired (V458, 513 unce Conference usfers to other di to been violated, y iscuss the selecti on DFR are hig	rehshold, 3, 554, 561). Materials alysis you may on of the h, include	
eview requested information Lost to Follow Up": If the sist), ask facility to explain a cilities or discontinued diacilities or eview those patients Determine the data-driven	facility DFR and n to assure patient to assure patient the circumstance of the circumstance of the survey with the sand adaddrivent as a data-drivent ated problems, p	Reducing the Risks I current transplients are being educed Its listed as "loss of those patientify concerns the listed records pertinent the survey (climble administrative focus area . If the performance imp	s of Peritoneal Dialysis-Related ant waitlist % is lower than fucated and referred as request to follow up" (#7 on Entropes without transact patients' rights may have ent to their discharges. Inical areas for review): Die person. If SHR &/or SRR in the facility is currently meets to rovement may have taken personement may have taken personeme	n the national the uired (V458, 513 unce Conference asfers to other die been violated, you can DFR are highly the threshold place. Upon validation of the solution of the threshold place.	rehshold, 3, 554, 561). Materials alysis you may on of the h, include ls in an area	
Lost to Follow Up": If the ist), ask facility to explain acilities or discontinued diatish to review those patients to the data-driven facus areas for a cospitalization/readmission where the DFR review indic	facility DFR and n to assure patient to assure patient the circumstance of the circumstance of the survey with the as a data-drivent as not to include the survey we not to include the survey with the survey	Reducing the Risks I current transplants are being educed Its listed as "lost Its of those patientify concerns the I records pertinent I records pertinent I he administrative I focus area .If the I formance imputhat as a data-a	s of Peritoneal Dialysis-Related ant waitlist % is lower than fucated and referred as request to follow up" (#7 on Entropes without transact patients' rights may have ent to their discharges. Inical areas for review): Die person. If SHR &/or SRR in the facility is currently meets to rovement may have taken personement may have taken personeme	a the national the uired (V458, 513 ance Conference asfers to other die been violated, y iscuss the selection DFR are hig ing the threshola diace. Upon valid	rehshold, 3, 554, 561). Materials alysis you may on of the h, include ls in an area dation of the	

Additional Notes As Needed

·