



Advance Payments of the Premium Tax Credit (APTC) Program Assessment Report

for

Anthem Health Plans of KY (Kentucky)

June 6, 2023

Table of Contents

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
II. BACKGROUND, OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY	5
III. RESULTS OF REVIEW	8
IV. FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS.....	10
V. MANAGEMENT RESPONSES	17
Appendix 1 – Issuer Management Response to Net Financial Adjustment	18
Appendix 2 – Applicable Regulations	19
Appendix 3 – Glossary of Terms and Acronyms	22

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

Anthem Health Plans of KY (Anthem BCBS) is a health insurance issuer that offered qualified health plans (QHPs) in the individual market State-Based Exchange (SBE) in Kentucky (KY) during the 2016 benefit year. Anthem BCBS submitted its final restated 2016 benefit year data in the November 2017 Enrollment and Payment Data Workbook (EPDW). The issuer received a total of \$122,218,396.34 in advance payments of the premium tax credit (APTC) from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and reported a total of \$244,616,914.81 in premiums for its 2016 benefit year individual market plans.

This report is an assessment, conducted in coordination with the SBE, of Anthem BCBS's compliance with the APTC program established in sections 1401 and 1412 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Pub. L. 111–148) enacted on March 23, 2010 and further amended and revised by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111–152) enacted on March 30, 2010 (collectively referred to throughout as PPACA), and implementing regulations. This report also details the results of the assessment of premiums for information purposes only.

Audits to Determine Compliance with the Administration of APTC Program

Under title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) sections [155.1210](#) and [156.480](#), the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) may audit¹ issuers that offer a QHP in the individual market through an Exchange to assess the degree of compliance with the APTC program requirements. HHS designates CMS to conduct these audits and to achieve the following objectives:

- Safeguard Federal funds;
- Instill confidence amongst regulated entities of data quality, soundness, and robustness;
- Evaluate health insurance issuer compliance with program rules and regulations; and
- Develop a successful and coordinated risk-based, multi-year audit program that maximizes resources.

This audit is part of CMS's program to validate the enrollment and payment data reported in the final 2016 EPDW submitted by the issuer, and to analyze controls and policies of selected issuers pursuant to the authority defined in 45 CFR §§ 155.1210 and 156.480.

¹ To provide the flexibility needed when standing up a new oversight program and to ensure that issuers are able to provide CMS with their most accurate data, audit protocols allow for dialogue between auditor and issuer to identify and correct errors in data submission that differ somewhat from some independence and reporting standards laid out under Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS). These procedures were defined and executed consistent with the competence, integrity, and analytical discipline required for performance audits as defined by GAGAS.

The findings and observations are documented below. If CMS found an instance of issuer non-compliance with APTC program requirements that requires correction to the APTC reported in the final EPDW, then CMS classified it as a *finding*. If CMS found a deviation from CMS or Exchange requirements that we are calling to the attention of management for purposes of improving compliance in future program years, but that does not require correction to payment, then CMS categorized it as an *observation*.

Results of Review

CMS identified five (5) findings and five (5) observations for Anthem BCBS. The net APTC financial impact of the five (5) findings is an overstatement of \$224,255.94 in APTC in the final EPDW and therefore a payment due to CMS of \$224,255.94 in APTC to be returned to CMS. The net premium impact of the five (5) observations is an overstatement of \$2,105,312.27 in premiums in the final EPDW. The findings and observations include the following:

Findings:

1. Differences in APTC amounts identified in the comparison of the issuer's data included in the November 2017 EPDW submitted by Anthem BCBS to a Payment Desk Audit File containing subscriber level data from Anthem BCBS's systems;
2. Inclusion of enrollment and APTC payment data in the Payment Desk Audit File for one (1) subscriber with coverage that was not effectuated in the issuer's systems;
3. Inclusion of incorrectly prorated APTC payment data for four (4) duplicate subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File;
4. Inclusion of incorrect APTC amounts that were more than the premium amounts for two (2) subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File; and
5. Inclusion of incorrectly prorated APTC payment data for one thousand, five hundred and eight (1,508) subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File.

Observations:

1. Differences in premium amounts identified in the comparison of the issuer's data included in the November 2017 EPDW submitted by Anthem BCBS to a Payment Desk Audit File containing subscriber level data from Anthem BCBS's systems;
2. Inclusion of enrollment and premium data in the Payment Desk Audit File for six (6) subscribers with coverage that was not effectuated in the issuer's systems;
3. Inclusion of incorrectly prorated premium data for five (5) duplicate subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File;
4. Inclusion of incorrect premium amounts that were less than the APTC amounts for two (2) subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File; and
5. Inclusion of incorrectly prorated premium data for two thousand, one hundred and forty-two (2,142) subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File.

Please refer to section IV for details on the findings and observations listed above, including the condition, cause, effect, corrective actions, and the issuer's responses.

II. BACKGROUND, OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

A. Background

Sections 1401 and 1412 of the PPACA established the APTC program to support the provision of affordable health care coverage to individuals.

CMS has the responsibility to confirm successful implementation of, and adherence to, the PPACA provisions and implementing regulations governing the APTC program. As such, CMS established this audit program.

Interim Payment Process

In 2014, CMS implemented a temporary process (“interim payment process”) to calculate and make monthly payments of APTC and advance cost-sharing reduction (CSR) amounts. CMS used this interim payment process to calculate payments for all SBE issuers for the 2014-2017 benefit years. CMS transitioned most SBE issuers to policy-based payments (PBP) in 2018 and transitioned the last SBE to PBP in 2020.

For the 2016 benefit year, the interim payment process required SBE issuer submitters, including issuers in Kentucky, to self-report enrollment and payment data on a monthly basis, including any adjustments to previous months’ requests, via manual submission of an EPDW, and to attest to the accuracy of the data. SBE issuer submitters were required to calculate the QHP enrollment and payment amounts and to submit that information in the EPDW using their internal source data.

CMS calculated and made monthly payments based on the QHP data submitted in the EPDW. While using this interim process, CMS designed and implemented a robust set of internal controls within a larger program integrity framework to ensure payment accuracy. CMS required submitters to send the following QHP plan information at the variant level via the password-protected template:

1. State
2. Tax Identification Number (TIN)
3. Health Insurance Oversight System (HIOS) ID
4. QHP ID
5. Total premium amount for all enrollments
6. Total APTC amount
7. Total advance CSR amount
8. Total effectuated enrollment groups
9. Total effectuated enrollment groups with APTC
10. Total effectuated enrollment groups with advance CSR
11. Total effectuated members
12. Total effectuated members with APTC
13. Total effectuated members with advance CSR

CMS conducted a SBE payment close-out process for the 2016 benefit year in which CMS compared the EPDW data against the policy-level reporting (PLR) data submitted by the SBE. The PLR data was based on the monthly submissions that SBEs sent to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for reporting purposes and contained cumulative individual market enrollment APTC data. CMS requested that SBEs append an additional field for the QHP ID for each policy and separately submit the data to CMS for this purpose. CMS asked SBEs or SBE issuers to explain any outlier discrepancies between EPDW and PLR data and to re-submit the EPDW, if necessary, or to verify that payment data was accurate despite discrepancies with PLR data.

B. Regulations Governing APTC Program

CMS established an audit protocol to assess health insurance issuers' compliance with the following regulations governing APTC program:

- [45 CFR § 155.1210](#): Maintenance of Records;
- [45 CFR § 156.460](#): Reduction of enrollee's share of premium to account for advance payments of the premium tax credit; and
- [45 CFR § 156.480](#): Oversight of the administration of the cost-sharing reductions and advance payments of the premium tax credit programs.

Please refer to Appendix 2 for the specific requirements established under the authorities listed above.

C. Objectives

The objectives of this audit are to:

- (1) Evaluate the accuracy and integrity of issuer-generated EPDW data reported for premiums and the APTC program;
- (2) Identify potential CMS APTC payment errors resulting from issuer data reporting errors; and
- (3) Test accuracy and integrity of issuer processes for reducing an enrollee's share of premium to account for APTCs.

D. Scope and Methodology

CMS selected Anthem BCBS for an audit to assess the issuer's compliance with 45 CFR §§ 155.1210, 156.460 and 156.480. CMS evaluated Anthem BCBS's activities related to the 2016 benefit year (January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016) individual market data reported in the final EPDW submitted in November 2017 by the issuer to CMS to support APTC payments and premium amounts.

CMS sent Anthem BCBS an electronic letter on December 19, 2019 to notify them of the scope of this audit. CMS's audit contractor sent a follow-up letter to Anthem BCBS on December 20, 2019 that identified data requirements required to conduct the audit. CMS's audit contractor reviewed the audit data file submitted by Anthem BCBS, as well as the final 2016 EPDW submitted by the issuer to CMS and the PLR data submitted by the SBE to CMS, and used CMS's audit procedures to assess compliance with APTC program rules and regulations².

CMS's audit contractor applied CMS's audit protocol to identify the findings and observations listed in section IV of this report. CMS's audit contractor performed the following procedures:

- Validations of the Payment Desk Audit File² data submitted to CMS:
 - EPDW Validations: Review and comparison of the issuer's final submitted 2016 EPDW to the Payment Desk Audit File from the issuer's systems.
 - Unreconciled Subscribers Review: Review and comparison of the subscribers reported in the Payment Desk Audit File to the subscribers included in the SBE's PLR data to determine if the subscribers existed and their coverage was effectuated in the issuer's system (i.e., the amount the subscriber is responsible to pay toward the first month's total premium amount has been paid in full by the subscriber).
 - Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check: Review of the Payment Desk Audit File containing subscriber level data from the issuer's systems to verify that duplicate Exchange-assigned subscriber IDs (i.e., Exchange-assigned subscriber IDs that were reported in the file twice in the same month with full month or incorrectly prorated payment data) were not reported in the file.
 - Proration Check: Review of the Payment Desk Audit File to verify that the subscribers' premium and APTC amounts reported in the file for partial months of enrollment were appropriately prorated, if applicable (i.e., if the issuer applied proration for the 2016 benefit year).
 - Premium Less than APTC Validation: Review of the Payment Desk Audit File to verify that the subscribers' premium amounts reported in the file were not less than the APTC amounts reported in the file.
 - Coverage Days Validation: Review of the Payment Desk Audit File to verify that enrollments of five (5) days or fewer reported in the file were effectuated and had active coverage in the issuer's systems.
- Validations on samples of issuer's systems data:
 - Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review: Review and comparison of the coverage periods, premium and APTC amounts from the issuer's systems to the corresponding data included in the SBE's PLR data for a selected sample of forty-five (45) subscribers.
 - Fifteen (15) Subscribers Sample Review: Analysis and review of data and documentation from the issuer's systems to verify effectuation and the appropriate application of premium and APTC amounts to policies for a selected sample of fifteen (15) subscribers.
- Policy and Procedure Review: Review of issuer APTC policies and procedures for completeness and clarity.

² The Payment Desk Audit File is CMS's standard document for issuers to provide information in support of this audit.

III. RESULTS OF REVIEW

CMS assessed issuer compliance with regulations using the following procedures: EPDW Validations, Unreconciled Subscribers Review, Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check, Proration Check, Premium Less than APTC Validation, Coverage Days Validation, Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review, Fifteen (15) Subscribers Sample Review, and Policy and Procedure Review.

To build collaborative relationships and identify process improvements that support program integrity goals, CMS conducted a discrepancy phase following the review of the initial audit data submission to work with the issuer to resolve or reduce audit findings, thereby improving compliance. Additional follow-up with the SBE was performed as necessary to confirm or resolve the identified audit findings. Below are the results of this review following the discrepancy phase.

EPDW Validations

One (1) finding and one (1) observation resulted from the comparison of the final 2016 EPDW submitted by the issuer to Anthem BCBS's Payment Desk Audit File. Please refer to Finding No. 1 and Observation No. 1 included in section IV for details on the finding and observation.

Unreconciled Subscribers Review

One (1) finding and one (1) observation resulted from the review of Anthem BCBS's Payment Desk Audit File to determine if the subscribers reported in the file existed and their coverage was effectuated in the issuer's systems. Please refer to Finding No. 2 and Observation No. 2 included in section IV for details on the finding and observation.

Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check

One (1) finding and one (1) observation resulted from the review of Anthem BCBS's Payment Desk Audit File to verify that duplicate Exchange-assigned subscriber IDs were not reported in the file. Please refer to Finding No. 3 and Observation No. 3 included in section IV for details on the finding and observation.

Proration Check

One (1) finding and one (1) observation resulted from the review of Anthem BCBS's Payment Desk Audit File to verify that subscribers were not reported in the file with premium amounts that were less than the APTC amounts. Please refer to Finding No. 4 and Observation No. 4 included in section IV for details on the finding and observation.

Premium Less than APTC Validation

One (1) finding and one (1) observation resulted from the review of Anthem BCBS's Payment Desk Audit File to verify that subscribers were not reported in the file with premium amounts that were less than the APTC amounts. Please refer to Finding No. 5 and Observation No. 5 included in section IV for details on the finding and observation.

Coverage Days Validation

No findings or observations resulted from the review of Anthem BCBS's Payment Desk Audit File to verify that enrollments of five (5) days or fewer reported in the file were effectuated and had active coverage in the issuer's systems.

Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review

No findings or observations resulted from the review and comparison of the data from Anthem BCBS's systems to the corresponding data included in the SBE's PLR data to determine accuracy of the reported enrollment months and the application of premium and APTC for a selected sample of forty-five (45) subscribers.

Fifteen (15) Subscribers Sample Review

No findings or observations resulted from the review of the data and documentation from Anthem BCBS's systems to verify effectuation and the appropriate application of premium and APTC amounts to policies for a selected sample of fifteen (15) subscribers.

Policy and Procedure Review

No findings or observations resulted from the review of Anthem BCBS's APTC policies and procedures.

IV. FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS

A finding is an identification of an instance of issuer non-compliance with APTC program requirements that requires correction to payment. CMS's audit procedures identified five (5) findings, which resulted in a change to the APTC amounts reported in Anthem BCBS's EPDW for individual market plans for the 2016 benefit year.

An observation is a deviation from CMS or Exchange requirements that we are calling to the attention of management for purposes of improving compliance in future program years but that does not require correction to payment. CMS's audit procedures identified five (5) observations, consisting of five (5) that resulted in a change to the premium amounts reported in Anthem BCBS's EPDW for individual market plans for the 2016 benefit year.

In light of the five (5) findings and five (5) observations, the adjusted 2016 benefit year EPDW APTC and premium amounts for individual market plans are shown in the following table.

Recalculated EPDW for the 2016 Benefit Year

	APTC	Premium (Observations)
EPDW as Filed in November 2017	\$122,218,396.34	\$244,616,914.81
Finding No. 1 and Observation No. 1 - EPDW Validations Adjustment	\$107,928.92	\$(1,451,957.60)
Finding No. 2 and Observation No. 2 - Unreconciled Subscribers Review Adjustment	\$(3,204.96)	\$(13,315.69)
Finding No. 3 and Observation No. 3 - Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check Adjustment	\$(839.50)	\$(2,205.88)
Finding No. 4 and Observation No. 4 - Proration Check Adjustment	\$(328,215.86)	\$(638,368.47)

	APTC	Premium (Observations)
Finding No. 5 and Observation No. 5 – Premium Less Than APTC Validation Adjustment	\$75.46	\$535.37
EPDW As Recalculated	\$121,994,979.90	\$242,511,602.54
Total Impact	\$(224,255.94)	\$(2,105,312.27)*

Note: Positive APTC values indicate funds owed to the issuer.

The net financial impact of the five (5) findings is a payment due to CMS of \$224,255.94 consisting of APTC to be returned to CMS.

*Note: The premium impact of the five (5) observations is an overstatement of \$2,105,312.27 in premiums. The premium impact is noted for purposes of improving compliance in future program years.

For the five (5) findings and five (5) observations, CMS documented the criteria, cause, effect, corrective actions, and Anthem BCBS's responses as seen in the charts below.

Finding No. 1 and Observation No. 1 – EPDW Validations	
Condition:	<p>APTC Differences (Finding) – For one (1) or more months of 2016 benefit year enrollment in forty-three (43) QHPs, the net “Total APTC Amount by QHP ID for effectuated enrollments” included in Anthem BCBS’s EPDW was less than the total APTC amount included in Anthem BCBS’s Payment Desk Audit File, resulting in an underpayment of \$107,928.92 in APTC. For the one (1) or more months of 2016 benefit year enrollment in forty-three (43) QHPs, the total net enrollment in the EPDW was overstated by eight hundred and twenty-two (822) APTC enrollment groups and five thousand, three hundred and ninety-one (5,391) APTC members.</p> <p>Premium Differences (Observation) – For one (1) or more months of 2016 benefit year enrollment in forty-eight (48) QHPs, the net “Total Premium Amount by QHP ID for effectuated enrollments” included in Anthem BCBS’s EPDW was greater than the total premium amount included in Anthem BCBS’s Payment Desk Audit File, resulting in an overstatement of \$1,451,957.60 in premiums. For the one (1) or more months of 2016 benefit year enrollment in forty-eight (48) QHPs, the total net enrollment in the EPDW was overstated by seven thousand, four hundred and sixty-five (7,465) enrollment groups and sixteen thousand, five hundred and forty (16,540) members.</p>

Finding No. 1 and Observation No. 1 – EPDW Validations	
Criteria:	<p>Pursuant to CMS guidance and EPDW submission requirements:</p> <p>The “Total APTC amount by QHP ID for effectuated enrollments” submitted on the EPDW is the “total APTC toward the total premium amount for effectuated enrollments within a 16-digit QHP ID.”</p> <p>The “Total premium amount by QHP ID for effectuated enrollments” submitted on the EPDW is the “total premium amount for the health coverage for all effectuated enrollments within that plan.”</p>
Cause:	<p>The issuer indicated that the discrepancies are the result of comparing two distinct sources of data, the Kentucky Health Benefit Exchange (KYNECT) (KY) EPDW and the Payment Desk Audit File. The variances are likely due to missed effectuations, missed terminations, manual 834s, midmonth financial changes, processing errors, grievances, and appeal adjustments.</p>
Effect:	<p>The APTC and premium differences resulted in a change to Anthem BCBS’s final, restated 2016 benefit year EPDW data.</p>
Corrective Action Required:	<p>The net financial impact of this finding is a payment due to Anthem BCBS of \$107,928.92 consisting of APTC to be paid to Anthem BCBS. Anthem BCBS should confirm the financial impact by filling out Appendix 1.</p> <p>The premium impact of this observation is an overstatement of \$1,451,957.60 in premiums. CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance in future program years.</p>
Management Response:	<p>Anthem agrees with the above finding and observation.</p>

Finding No. 2 and Observation No. 2 – Unreconciled Subscribers Review	
Condition:	<p>Anthem BCBS overstated the 2016 benefit year premium amounts for six (6) subscribers, and overstated the 2016 benefit year APTC amounts for one (1) of those subscribers, in the Payment Desk Audit File by reporting enrollment and payment data for subscribers with coverage that was not effectuated.</p>
Criteria:	<p>Pursuant to CMS guidance and EPDW submission requirements, the EPDW should include data for effectuated enrollments where an effectuated enrollment is described as “any enrollment in which the amount the enrollment group is responsible to pay toward the total</p>

Finding No. 2 and Observation No. 2 – Unreconciled Subscribers Review	
	premium amount has been paid in full by the enrollment group.” Additionally, pursuant to 45 CFR § 155.400, Exchanges may, and the FFE will, require payment of the first month’s premium to effectuate an enrollment.
Cause:	The issuer provided a data extract and confirmed the six (6) subscribers were not effectuated in their system due to the subscribers’ payment responsibility not being received.
Effect:	The inclusion of the six (6) non-effectuated enrollments resulted in a change to Anthem BCBS’s final, restated 2016 benefit year EPDW data.
Corrective Action Required:	<p>The net financial impact of this finding is a payment due to CMS of \$3,204.96, consisting of APTC to be returned to CMS. Anthem BCBS should confirm the financial impact by filling out Appendix 1.</p> <p>The premium impact of this observation is an overstatement of \$13,315.69 in premiums. CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance in future program years.</p>
Management Response:	Anthem agrees with the above finding and observation.

Finding No. 3 and Observation No. 3 – Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check	
Condition:	Anthem BCBS overstated the 2016 benefit year premium amounts for five (5) subscribers, and overstated the 2016 benefit year APTC amounts for four (4) of those subscribers, in the Payment Desk Audit File by reporting incorrectly prorated payment data for the subscribers with mid-month terminations and re-enrollments.
Criteria:	Pursuant to 45 CFR § 155.240, Exchanges may establish one or more standard processes for premium calculation. Additionally, the issuer’s policies and procedures note that, “when calculating prorated bills, ISG always bills to the first of a month. The system will calculate the prorated bill based on the remaining days of the month to be billed, assuming each month has 30 days. Using the Paid to Date (PTD), the date the contract is paid up to, the system will determine how many days are left in that month. The system will never bill over the contract’s bill frequency months. The only exception is when the

Finding No. 3 and Observation No. 3 – Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check	
	member is behind in payment and more months must be billed to bring the member current.”
Cause:	The issuer incorrectly applied the issuer’s internal mid-month change policy noted in the criteria as the Payment Desk Audit File included subscribers with incorrectly prorated premium and APTC amounts. The issuer indicated that the records were not duplicate records but incorrectly prorated as a result of mid-month financial changes. The premium and APTC amounts were not correctly prorated to reflect the partial month enrollment.
Effect:	The inclusion of the five (5) subscribers incorrectly prorated as a result of mid-month financial changes resulted in a change to Anthem BCBS’s final, restated 2016 benefit year EPDW data.
Corrective Action Required:	<p>The net financial impact of this finding is a payment due to CMS of \$839.50, consisting of APTC to be returned to CMS. Anthem BCBS should confirm the financial impact by filling out Appendix 1.</p> <p>The premium impact of this observation is an overstatement of \$2,205.88 in premiums. CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance in future program years.</p>
Management Response:	Anthem agrees with the above finding and observation.

Finding No. 4 and Observation No. 4 – Proration Check	
Condition:	Anthem BCBS reported incorrectly prorated 2016 benefit year premium amounts for two thousand, one hundred and forty-two (2,142) subscribers, and incorrectly prorated 2016 benefit year APTC amounts for one thousand, five hundred and eight (1,508) of those subscribers, in the Payment Desk Audit File.
Criteria:	Pursuant to 45 CFR § 155.240, Exchanges may establish one or more standard processes for premium calculation. Additionally, the issuer’s policies and procedures note that, “when calculating prorated bills, ISG always bills to the first of a month. The system will calculate the prorated bill based on the remaining days of the month to be billed, assuming each month has 30 days. Using the Paid to Date (PTD), the date the contract is paid up to, the system will determine how many days are left in that month. The system will never bill over the

Finding No. 4 and Observation No. 4 – Proration Check	
	contract’s bill frequency months. The only exception is when the member is behind in payment and more months must be billed to bring the member current.”
Cause:	The issuer incorrectly applied the issuer’s internal mid-month change policy noted in the criteria as the Payment Desk Audit File included subscribers with incorrectly prorated premium and APTC amounts. The issuer indicated the differences were due to mid-month dependent additions, mid-month terminations, proration of premium and APTC amounts based on a 30-day cycle for all months, and incorrect reporting of subscribers’ benefit end date in the Payment Desk Audit file (termination date is the date prior to the reported date). During the audit, the issuer provided the correct prorated premium and APTC amounts as well as the correct coverage dates for the subscribers that were calculated based on their internal mid-month change policy.
Effect:	The inclusion of the incorrectly prorated payment data for the two thousand, one hundred and forty-two (2,142) subscribers resulted in a change to Anthem BCBS’s final, restated 2016 benefit year EPDW data.
Corrective Action Required:	The net financial impact of this finding is a payment due to CMS of \$328,215.86, consisting of APTC to be returned to CMS. Anthem BCBS should confirm the financial impact by filling out Appendix 1. The premium impact of this observation is an overstatement of \$638,368.47 in premiums. CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance in future program years.
Management Response:	Anthem agrees with the above finding and observation.

Finding No. 5 and Observation No. 5 – Premium Less than APTC Validation	
Condition:	Anthem BCBS reported 2016 benefit year premium amounts that were less than the APTC amounts for two (2) subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File resulting from Anthem BCBS understating the 2016 benefit year APTC and premium amounts for two (2) subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File.
Criteria:	Issuers cannot report an APTC amount that exceeds the premium amount for a policy.

Finding No. 5 and Observation No. 5 – Premium Less than APTC Validation	
Cause:	The issuer indicated that the differences were due to the “issuer system aged member with dob 11/01/51 and overwrote with 2017 APTC and the rate screen shows dob as 02/07/1995 eff 12/01 in the billing rates inquiry screen.” The subscriber’s APTC and premium amounts were incorrectly updated as a result.
Effect:	The inclusion of the incorrect APTC and premium amounts for two (2) subscribers resulted in a change to Anthem BCBS’s final, restated 2016 benefit year EPDW data.
Corrective Action Required:	<p>The net financial impact of this finding is a payment due to Anthem BCBS of \$75.46, consisting of APTC to be paid to Anthem BCBS. Anthem BCBS should confirm the financial impact by filling out Appendix 1.</p> <p>The premium impact of this observation is an understatement of \$535.37 in premiums. CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance in future program years.</p>
Management Response:	Anthem agrees with the above finding and observation.

V. MANAGEMENT RESPONSES

Please provide management's response to the five (5) findings and five (5) observations identified in the draft audit report and complete the attached Appendix 1 - Issuer Management Response to Net Financial Adjustment (Appendix 1), within thirty (30) calendar days from the draft audit report date. Management's response should indicate agreement or disagreement.

Agreement

If management agrees with the five (5) findings and five (5) observations, complete the "Management Response" field of the findings and observations in the draft audit report, and initial "Agree" and sign the attached Appendix 1. Return the draft audit report including Appendix 1 within thirty (30) calendar days from the draft audit report date. Upon receipt of the signed Appendix 1, CMS will finalize and publish the report on the CCIIO webpage. CMS will process the final payment adjustment amount in the next available monthly payment cycle.

Disagreement

If management disagrees with the five (5) findings and corrective actions and five (5) observations, complete the "Management Response" field of the findings and observations in the draft audit report, and initial "Disagree" and sign the attached Appendix 1. Return the draft audit report including Appendix 1 and any supporting documentation that substantiates management's response within thirty (30) calendar days from the draft audit report date. This will be the final opportunity to provide information or supporting documentation to correct any inaccuracies in the report before it is finalized.

CMS will review the written explanations in the "Management Response" field of the findings and observations and any supporting documentation to determine if the report can be amended in a mutually acceptable manner. If you and CMS are unable to come to a mutually acceptable result, your response to this report will be included in the final published audit report.

Please return the updated Appendix 1 within fifteen (15) calendar days. Upon receipt of the signed Appendix 1, CMS will finalize and publish the report on the CCIIO webpage. CMS will process the final payment adjustment amount in the next available monthly payment cycle.

Appendix 1 – Issuer Management Response to Net Financial Adjustment

Issuer HIOS ID: 36239

Issuer Name: Anthem Health Plans of KY (Anthem BCBS)

The undersigned Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO) or other individual who can legally and financially bind this issuer has reviewed the information included in the audit report of the issuer's 2016 benefit year APTC program participation, resulting in a payment due to CMS of \$224,255.94 to be returned to CMS and:

(INITIAL CR) Agrees with the audit net adjustment amount above, confirming the audit finding(s) and observation(s), if applicable, and as such this report will be considered final and published.

OR

(INITIAL) _____ Disagrees and requests a review of additional information that may impact the audit net adjustment amount resulting from the 2016 benefit year audit. If review is requested, CMS will consider this draft only a preliminary audit report. If the review option is selected, you must provide a written explanation with any additional documentation when you return this response within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of this draft audit report. CMS will review the written explanation and any supporting documentation to determine if the report can be amended in a mutually acceptable manner. If you and CMS are unable to come to a mutually acceptable result, your response to this report will be included in the final published audit report.

Signed: _____



(Signature of authorized person acting on behalf of the issuer)

Printed Name: _____ Chris Rigg

(Print name of signature)

Title: _____ SVP & CFO CSBD

(Title of authorized person acting on behalf of the Issuer)

Telephone Number: _____ (317) 488-6887

(Direct Telephone Number)

Date: _____ July 26, 2023

Appendix 2 – Applicable Regulations

The following table identifies the specific regulatory requirements around which CMS has organized its audits.

Regulation	Rules
45 CFR § 155.1210 – Maintenance of Records	<p>(a) General. The State Exchange must maintain and must ensure its contractors, subcontractors, and agents maintain for 10 years, documents and records (whether paper, electronic, or other media) and other evidence of accounting procedures and practices, which are sufficient to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(1) Accommodate periodic auditing of the State Exchange's financial records; and(2) Enable HHS or its designee(s) to inspect facilities, or otherwise evaluate the State- Exchange's compliance with Federal standards. <p>(b) Records. The State Exchange and its contractors, subcontractors, and agents must ensure that the records specified in paragraph (a) of this section include, at a minimum, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(1) Information concerning management and operation of the State Exchange's financial and other record keeping systems;(2) Financial statements, including cash flow statements, and accounts receivable and matters pertaining to the costs of operations;(3) Any financial reports filed with other Federal programs or State authorities;(4) Data and records relating to the State Exchange's eligibility verifications and determinations, enrollment transactions, appeals, and plan variation certifications; and(5) Qualified health plan contracting (including benefit review) data and consumer outreach and Navigator grant oversight information. <p>(c) Availability. A State Exchange must make all records and must ensure its contractors, subcontractors, and agents must make all records in paragraph (a) of this section available to HHS, the OIG, the Comptroller General, or their designees, upon request.</p>

Regulation	Rules
<p>45 CFR § 156.460 - Reduction of enrollee's share of premium to account for advance payments of the premium tax credit</p>	<p>(a) Reduction of enrollee's share of premium to account for advance payments of the premium tax credit. A QHP issuer that receives notice from the Exchange that an individual enrolled in the issuer's QHP is eligible for an advance payment of the premium tax credit must—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Reduce the portion of the premium charged to or for the individual for the applicable month(s) by the amount of the advance payment of the premium tax credit; (2) Notify the Exchange of the reduction in the portion of the premium charged to the individual in accordance with § 156.265(g); and (3) Include with each billing statement, as applicable, to or for the individual the amount of the advance payment of the premium tax credit for the applicable month(s), and the remaining premium owed.

Regulation	Rules
<p>45 CFR § 156.480 - Oversight of the administration of the cost-sharing reductions and advance payments of the premium tax credit programs.</p>	<p>(a) Maintenance of records. An issuer that offers a QHP in the individual market through a State Exchange must adhere to, and ensure that any relevant delegated entities and downstream entities adhere to, the standards set forth in § 156.705 concerning maintenance of documents and records, whether paper, electronic, or in other media, by issuers offering QHPs in a Federally-facilitated Exchange, in connection with cost-sharing reductions and advance payments of the premium tax credit.</p> <p>(b) Annual reporting requirements. For each benefit year, an issuer that offers a QHP in the individual market through an Exchange must report to HHS, in the manner and timeframe required by HHS, summary statistics specified by HHS with respect to administration of cost-sharing reduction and advance payments of the premium tax credit programs, including any failure to adhere to the standards set forth under § 156.410(a) through (d), § 156.425(a) through (b), and § 156.460(a) through (c) of this Part.</p> <p>(c) Audits. HHS or its designee may audit an issuer that offers a QHP in the individual market through an Exchange to assess compliance with the requirements of this subpart.</p>
<p>45 CFR § 156.705 – Maintenance of records for Federally-facilitated Exchanges</p>	<p>(a) General standard. Issuers offering QHPs in a Federally-facilitated Exchange must maintain all documents and records (whether paper, electronic, or other media) and other evidence of accounting procedures and practices, necessary for HHS to do the following:</p> <p>(1) Periodically audit financial records related to QHP issuers' participation in a Federally-facilitated Exchange, and evaluate the ability of QHP issuers to bear the risk of potential financial losses; and</p> <p>(2) Conduct compliance reviews or otherwise monitor QHP issuers' compliance with all Exchange standards applicable to issuers offering QHPs in a federally-facilitated Exchange as listed in this part.</p> <p>(b) Records. The records described in paragraph (a) of this section include the sources listed in § 155.1210(b)(2), (3), and (5) of this subchapter.</p> <p>(c) Record retention timeframe. Issuers offering QHPs in a Federally-facilitated Exchange must maintain all records referenced in paragraph (a) of this section for 10 years.</p> <p>(d) Record availability. Issuers offering QHPs in a Federally-facilitated Exchange must make all records in paragraph (a) of this section available to HHS, the OIG, the Comptroller General, or their designees, upon request.</p>

Appendix 3 – Glossary of Terms and Acronyms

Terms & Acronyms	Definition
APTC	Advance Payments of the Premium Tax Credit
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CFO	Chief Financial Officer
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CMS	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
CSR	Cost-sharing Reduction
DHHS	Department of Health and Human Services
EPDW	Enrollment and Payment Data Workbook
GAGAS	Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards
HIOS	Health Insurance Oversight System
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
PPACA	Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act
PLR	Policy-level Reporting
QHP	Qualified Health Plan
SBE	State-based Exchange
TIN	Tax Identification Number